

**DO PROCESS INNOVATIONS BOOST SMES
PRODUCTIVITY GROWTH?**

**JUAN A. MAÑEZ
MARÍA E. ROCHINA BARRACHINA
AMPARO SANCHIS LLOPIS
JUAN A. SANCHIS LLOPIS**

FUNDACIÓN DE LAS CAJAS DE AHORROS
DOCUMENTO DE TRABAJO
Nº 475/2009

De conformidad con la base quinta de la convocatoria del Programa de Estímulo a la Investigación, este trabajo ha sido sometido a evaluación externa anónima de especialistas cualificados a fin de contrastar su nivel técnico.

ISSN: 1988-8767

La serie **DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO** incluye avances y resultados de investigaciones dentro de los programas de la Fundación de las Cajas de Ahorros.
Las opiniones son responsabilidad de los autores.

DO PROCESS INNOVATIONS BOOST SMES PRODUCTIVITY GROWTH?

Juan A. Mañez*
María E. Rochina Barrachina**
Amparo Sanchis Llopis***
Juan A. Sanchis Llopis****

Abstract

In this paper we explore in depth the effect of process innovations on total factor productivity growth for small and medium enterprises (SMEs), taking into account the potential endogeneity problem that may be caused by self selection into these activities. First, we analyse whether the ex-ante most productive SMEs are those that start introducing process innovations; then, we test whether process innovations boost SMEs productivity growth using matching techniques to control for the possibility that selection into introducing process innovations may not be a random process. We use a sample of Spanish manufacturing SMEs for the period 1991-2002, drawn from the *Encuesta sobre Estrategias Empresariales*. Our results show that the introduction of process innovations yields an extra productivity growth, and that the life span of this extra productivity growth has an inverted U-shaped form.

Keywords: Process innovations, TFP, stochastic dominance, matching techniques.

JEL Classification: O31, D24, C14

* Juan A. Mañez, Universidad de Valencia and ERI-CES, Facultad de Economía, Departamento de Economía Aplicada II, Avda. de los Naranjos, s/n, 46022 Valencia, Spain, telephone 96 3828356, Fax 96 3828354, e-mail: jamc@uv.es.

** María E. Rochina Barrachina, Universidad de Valencia and ERI-CES, Facultad de Economía, Departamento de Economía Aplicada II, Avda. de los Naranjos, s/n, 46022 Valencia, Spain, telephone 96 3828850, Fax 96 3828354, e-mail: erochina@uv.es.

*** Amparo Sanchis Llopis, Universidad de Valencia and ERI-CES, Facultad de Economía, Departamento de Economía Aplicada II, Avda. de los Naranjos, s/n, 46022 Valencia, Spain, telephone 96 3828356, Fax 96 3828354, e-mail: sanchisa@uv.es.

**** Juan A. Sanchis Llopis, Universidad de Valencia and ERI-CES, Facultad de Economía, Departamento de Economía Aplicada II, Avda. de los Naranjos, s/n, 46022 Valencia, Spain, telephone 96 3828356, Fax 96 3828354, e-mail: sanchisl@uv.es

Acknowledgment: Financial support from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Technology (project numbers ECO2008-04576/ECON and ECO2008-06395-C05-03) is gratefully acknowledged. We are grateful to participants in the 2nd Workshop on Entrepreneurship Statistics (Huelva, Spain), and the 7th Annual International Industrial Organization Conference (Boston). We would also like to thank *Fundación SEPI* for providing the data. Usual disclaimers apply.

1. Introduction.

It has been broadly recognised the role of small and medium enterprises (SMEs henceforth) as a driving force for economic growth. SMEs are crucial to the revitalization of the economy, and to the preservation and generation of employment, what is especially important when the economy undergoes severe circumstances. Fostering SMEs productivity, as a way to ensure their survival and growth in the economy, is therefore a major issue, both to managers and policy makers. Amongst the determinants of firms productivity growth, the key role of innovation has also been generally acknowledged, starting with the seminal works of Schumpeter (1934, 1942) and his concept of creative destruction as the mechanism driving and shaping the evolution of markets and economic growth.

A number of empirical papers have analysed the links between firms' innovation output and productivity growth using a production function approach. A remarkable example of this approach is Crépon *et al.* (1998), where the production function includes innovation output (patents per employee or the share of innovative sales) as a determinant of productivity growth. In this line, Verspagen (1999), Gu and Tang (2003), Huergo and Jaumandreu (2004), Parisi *et al.* (2006), Griffith *et al.* (2006), Lee and Kang (2007), and Rochina-Barrachina *et al.* (2008), considering direct measures of innovation output (such as patents, products or process innovations), find that process innovations have a positive impact on firms productivity. However, despite the acknowledgement of this positive relationship, little is known about the direction of causality between firms' process innovations and productivity. In particular, do process innovations enhance firms' productivity growth, or are the most productive firms becoming process innovators, or both? Discerning the direction of this causality is important in order to draw conclusions that may guide firms' strategies.

In this paper we depart from previous studies by explicitly exploring the causal links between process innovations and productivity, that is, by taking into account the potential endogeneity problem characterizing this relationship: the introduction of process innovations may increase SMEs' productivity, but it may also be the case that only the most productive SMEs are able to generate the resources needed to implement process innovations. To properly assess the impact of the introduction of process innovations on firms' productivity, dealing with this problem of endogeneity constitutes an econometric challenge, and in this paper we address this issue using appropriate econometric techniques.

The aim of this paper is to explore in depth the direct effect of process innovations on total factor productivity (TFP, hereafter) for SMEs. In particular, we aim to analyze both the extent and the life span of the productivity gains brought about by

the introduction of process innovations, taking into account the potential endogeneity problem between process innovation and productivity growth. In order to do this, we proceed in two steps. Firstly, we analyse whether the ex-ante most productive SMEs are those that start introducing process innovations. Second, we test whether process innovations boost SMEs productivity growth using matching techniques to control for the possibility that selection into introducing process innovations may not be a random process.

To perform the analysis, we use data on SMEs drawn from the Encuesta sobre Estrategias Empresariales (ESEE, hereafter) for the period 1991-2002. This survey data is representative of Spanish manufacturing SMEs classified by industrial sectors and size categories.¹ The panel data nature of the data set allows classifying SMEs according to their process innovation patterns over time and to analyze the extent and the life span of the impact of process innovations on SMEs productivity growth. The empirical work is carried out using both stochastic dominance and matching techniques.

Our paper contributes to the empirical literature dealing with the measurement of the impact of innovation output on productivity growth using firm level data. To our knowledge, this paper is the first to look at the productivity gains from introducing process innovations at the firm level using matching techniques to deal with the potential endogeneity problem that may arise from self selection into these activities.

To anticipate our results, we find that the introduction of process innovations yields a delayed (not contemporaneous) extra productivity growth to a SME implementing a process innovation for the first time, as compared to a SME that does not introduce process innovations, and that this extra productivity growth has an inverted U-shaped form. These findings shed light on the understanding of the links between process innovation and SMEs productivity growth and thus may serve to assist not only the design of management strategies but also more effective policies to promote SMEs.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 briefly describes the relationship between process innovations and SMEs productivity. Section 3 presents the data. Section 4 analyses whether the ex-ante most productive SMEs are those that start introducing process innovations. Section 5 examines whether process innovations boost SMEs productivity growth. Finally, section 6 concludes.

¹ The ESEE does not include SMEs with less than 10 employees. Therefore, given the sampling procedure of this survey, we consider as SMEs those firms having between 10 and 200 employees. See section 3 for details.

2. Process innovations and productivity for SMEs.

Our focus in this paper is to analyse the impact of process innovations on SMEs' productivity. On theoretical grounds, there are, at least, three strands in the literature supporting a positive relationship between the introduction of process innovations and firms' productivity growth. The first strand is based on the well-known *R&D capital stock model* of Griliches (1979) that analyses the relationship among R&D investments, achievement of innovations and productivity growth. Since this seminal work, other authors have incorporated more explicitly the role of process innovations on productivity growth. For instance, Klette and Johansen (1998) incorporated the output elasticity of knowledge capital to point out the opportunity for process innovations, and Smolny (1998) assumed that process innovations reduce production costs by increasing the productivity of labour and/or capital. The second strand in the literature rendering theoretical support to the relationship between process innovations and productivity growth is the *active learning model* (Ericson and Pakes, 1992, 1995, and Pakes and Ericson, 1998). According to this model, R&D investments, if successful, contribute to improve firm' productivity over time. If the successful output of firms R&D activities is the production of a process innovation and this is actually implemented, we expect an increase in the productivity growth of such a firm. Therefore, in the *active learning model* the relationship between R&D activities and productivity growth runs through the achievement and implementation of process innovations. Finally, endogenous growth theory is the third strand of the literature stressing the importance of innovations for productivity growth (see, e.g., Romer, 1990, Aghion and Howitt, 1992).

Our interest in SMEs is not only because they have grown into an important force in the world economy, but also because the introduction of process innovations may constitute an important source of competitive advantage for these companies, as compared to their larger counterparts. In the case of Spain, SMEs represent 96.78% of total firms, 64.08% of total employment, 53.08% of total sales and 33.78% of total R&D expenditures. Given their organizational simplicity, SMEs may implement process innovations faster and at lower switching costs than large firms (Buckley and Mirza, 1997). In addition, due to the limited resources and small scale production, SMEs may find easier to follow an innovation strategy aimed at obtaining direct rewards in terms of productivity, such as process innovations, rather than investing huge amounts in the development of sophisticated R&D projects, and indeed, there is empirical evidence supporting the view that SMEs are process innovation oriented (see Acs and Audretsch, 1990, Baldwin, 1997, Smolny, 1998, among others). Thus, for a number of

reasons, the introduction of process innovations may be considered as an important tool of strategic management for SMEs.²

3. Data, productivity and process innovation status for SMEs.

The data used in this paper are drawn from the ESEE for the period 1991-2002. This is an annual survey that is representative of Spanish manufacturing firms classified by industrial sectors and size categories. It provides exhaustive information at the firm level, including information on innovation activities performed by firms. As for SMEs, the sampling procedure of the ESEE excluded those firms with less than 10 employees, and firms with 10 to 200 employees were randomly sampled, holding around 5% of the population in 1990. Important efforts have been made to minimise attrition and to annually incorporate new firms with the same sampling criteria as in the base year, so that the sample of firms remains representative of the Spanish manufacturing SMEs over time.³ The total sample of SMEs corresponding to the period 1991-2002, is made up of 12929 observations. This means an annual average of 1077 SMEs throughout the entire period.

The panel nature of the dataset allows classifying SMEs according to their process innovation activities over time. The particular question in the ESEE is as follows: "Indicate if during 199X the firm introduced some important modification of the productive process (process innovation)".

To measure productivity we use a TFP index. This is calculated at the firm level using a multilateral productivity index that is an extension of the Caves *et al.* (1982) index.⁴ The information provided by the ESEE allows us to deflate both output and inputs using, correspondingly, firm individual price indexes drawn from the survey. This allows controlling for the possibility of output and input prices not only being different or evolving differently over time for process innovators than non innovators but also between firms, irrespective to their process innovation status. Therefore, our TFP measure to some extent reflects firm differences in market conditions.

We select those SMEs that report information both on the process innovation question and on all the variables involved in the construction of the productivity

² There is also empirical evidence showing that large firms are more process R&D-oriented, as compared to other innovation strategies, than small firms (see, e.g. Davies, 1979, Sherer, 1991, Pavitt *et al.*, 1987, Cohen and Klepper, 1996). However, this is not inconsistent with the fact that SMEs are more prone to implement process innovations.

³ See http://www.funep.es/esee/ing/i_esee.asp for further details.

⁴ This extension was developed in Good *et al.* (1996) and Delgado *et al.* (2002). It may also be found in Máñez *et al.* (2005) and Rochina-Barrachina *et al.* (2008).

measure. Applying this criterion we end up with a sample of 11626 observations (see Table 1).⁵

Table 1. Yearly number of SMEs and process innovators

Years	Total	Process innovators
1991	683	205
1992	848	260
1993	985	301
1994	967	302
1995	910	269
1996	969	272
1997	1129	374
1998	1036	353
1999	1068	338
2000	1049	333
2001	991	269
2002	991	237
Total 1991-2002	11626	3513


In order to get a first picture of the relationship between process innovations and SMEs productivity, we check whether SMEs introducing process innovations present higher productivity levels than SMEs that do not introduce them.

Figure 1 displays the relative distribution functions of TFP for SMEs process innovators in t and non process innovators in t , respectively, for each year of the period 1991-2002.⁶ These figures represent the equivalence between each of the quantiles of the TFP distribution for SMEs that have achieved process innovations in the quantile scale of the TFP distribution for non-process innovators SMEs. The diagonal represents the uniform distribution $[0,1]$, i.e. the relative distribution if both distributions were identical. The position of the relative distribution below the diagonal suggests that the distribution represented in the vertical axis stochastically dominates the distribution in the horizontal axis. In particular, the relative TFP distribution for process innovating SMEs lies below the diagonal for ten out of twelve years (except for 1991 and 1992), suggesting that the TFP distribution for SMEs process innovating in t stochastically dominates that for the non-process innovators in each period t .

⁵ We do not use any observation for 1990 as we cannot compute TFP for this year in the survey.

⁶ See Handcock and Morris (1999) for the technical details about relative distributions.

Figure 1. Yearly relative TFP distribution functions of process innovators in t to non-process innovators in t .



On the basis of the observed differences in Figure 1, we formally test whether the TFP distribution of SMEs process innovators in t stochastically dominates the TFP distribution of non process innovators in t . Thus, for each time period, we compare

$$F_t(y_t) \text{ vs. } G_t(y_t), \quad t = 1991, \dots, 2002 \quad (1)$$

using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS, hereafter) one and two-sided tests, where F_t and G_t are the yearly TFP distribution functions for SMEs process innovators and non process innovators in t , respectively.⁷

Table 2 shows the results for the KS tests for TFP differentials. We reject the null hypothesis of equality of the two distributions (at a 5% significance level) for all years, except for 1991 and 1992. Further, we can never reject the null that the TFP of SMEs implementing process innovations in t is higher than that of non-process innovators. Thus, in general terms, process innovators are more productive in terms of TFP than non-process innovators.

⁷ See Delgado *et al.* (2002) for a description of the application of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests for the testing of stochastic dominance.

Table 2. Yearly TFP differences between SMEs process innovators in *t* and non-process innovators in *t*.

Year	Number of observations		TFP differences ^a	Equality of distributions		Differences favourable to process innovators	
	Process innovators	Non-process innovators		Statistic	<i>p</i> -value	Statistic	<i>p</i> -value
1991	205	478	0.002	0.387	0.998	0.309	0.826
1992	260	588	0.026	0.970	0.272	0.206	0.918
1993	301	684	0.068	1.866	0.001	0.098	0.981
1994	302	665	0.054	2.164	0.000	0.022	0.999
1995	269	641	0.066	2.095	0.000	0.086	0.985
1996	272	697	0.045	2.001	0.000	0.257	0.876
1997	374	755	0.067	2.644	0.000	0.021	0.999
1998	353	683	0.054	2.094	0.000	0.003	1.000
1999	338	730	0.053	2.719	0.000	0.092	0.983
2000	333	716	0.036	1.809	0.002	0.069	0.990
2001	269	722	0.037	2.206	0.000	0.306	0.829
2002	237	754	0.060	2.419	0.000	0.175	0.941

^a TFP differences (between both groups of SMEs) are calculated at the median of the distributions.

4. Self selection of the most productive SMEs into implementing process innovations.

We now proceed to check whether among non-process innovators today, those that will introduce process innovations in the future are *ex-ante* more productive than those that will not. If (future) process innovators are *ex-ante* more productive, one would find that these firms would experience higher productivity in the future even without introducing process innovations. We want to test whether the most productive SMEs self select into obtaining process innovations. On theoretical and empirical grounds, we expect the productivity level of process innovators to be not lower than that of non-process innovators as: (i) the expenditures associated to innovation activities (both formal and informal) limit the access to innovation activities to the most productive firms;⁸ and, (ii) performing innovation activities might be a previous condition to obtain process innovations.⁹

Thus, as a first step, we test for non-random selection into implementing process innovation, that is, we first test whether among non-process innovators in $t-1$, those introducing process innovations in t are more productive in $t-1$. In order to do so, we compare TFP (previous to obtaining a process innovation) of SMEs implementing a process innovation for the first time, with TFP of non-process innovators. We define first-time process innovating SMEs in t as those SMEs that implement a process innovation for the first time (in our sample period) in period t ; and, as non-process innovators those SMEs that have not implemented a process innovation until $t-1$, and do not implement it at time t either. However, the small size of first-time process innovators cohorts between 1992 and 2002 (reported in table 3) suggests not carrying out year-by-year KS tests as their results would be scarcely reliable.

⁸ This is especially relevant for the case of R&D investments due to its sunk costs nature (Sutton, 1991, Máñez *et al.*, 2009).

⁹ Some support for the self-selection hypothesis into R&D activities (formal part of innovation activities) of the most productive firms can be found, among others, in Hall, 1990 (who uses a financial constraint argument), González and Jaumandreu, 1998, González *et al.*, 1999, and Máñez *et al.*, 2005.

Table 3. Yearly number of SMEs first-time process innovators.

Year	
1992	47
1993	51
1994	36
1995	30
1996	21
1997	28
1998	43
1999	26
2000	24
2001	33
2002	12
Total 1992-2002 ^a	351

^a We do not report data for 1991 as we need to start the test from 1992 onwards to calculate $t-1$ TFP.

To overcome this limitation we apply this test jointly for the whole sample period. Therefore, we compare,


$$F_{1991,\dots,2001}(z_{1991,\dots,2001}) \text{ vs. } G_{1991,\dots,2001}(z_{1991,\dots,2001}) \quad (2)$$

where $F_{1991,\dots,2001}$ is the previous TFP distribution of the eleven cohorts of first-time process innovators, and $G_{1991,\dots,2001}$ is the yearly average TFP distribution over the period 1991-2001 for the non-process innovators.

To obtain the previous TFP distribution function of first-time process innovators we follow two alternative approaches. In the first one, this distribution is calculated using TFP in $t-1$ of first-time process innovators in t , for $t = 1992, \dots, 2002$. In the second approach, this distribution is constructed with the previous average TFP, starting from the first year a SME is observed in the sample until $t-1$.

Figures 2(a) and 2(b) map the kernel estimates of the cumulative previous TFP distribution functions of first-time process innovators and non-process innovators using the two alternative approaches defined above. We may observe from the figures that, independently of the approach used to calculate previous TFP distribution of first-time process innovators, the distribution of first-time process innovators is to the right of that of non-process innovators, suggesting that SMEs that eventually introduce process innovations had higher TFP levels than non-process innovators previously to implementing a process innovation.

Figure 2. Comparing the TFP growth of first-time process innovators and non-process innovators.



Further, the results of formal KS tests of stochastic dominance using the two approaches described above confirm the patterns of stochastic dominance suggested by our graphical regularities (see Table 4). Thus, regardless the approach considered, we always reject the null hypothesis of equality of TFP distributions and we cannot reject the null hypothesis (at any reasonable significance level) of favourable differences to first-time process innovators. Therefore, the KS tests indicate that SMEs that eventually introduce process innovations exhibited higher previous TFP levels than their non-process innovators counterparts. Thus, we find evidence on the existence of non-random selection into the introduction of process innovations that should be taken into account when analyzing the effects of process innovations in SMEs productivity growth.¹⁰

¹⁰ Rochina-Barrachina *et al.* (2008) also found evidence of self selection into the introduction of process innovations by the most productive Spanish manufacturing firms for the period 1991-1998.

Table 4. Comparison of previous TFP of SMEs first-time process innovators and non-process innovators.

	First-time process innovators	Non-process innovators	TFP diffs. ^a	Equality of distributions		Favourable diff. to first-time process innovators	
				Statistic	<i>p</i> -value	Statistic	<i>p</i> -value
TFP in <i>t-1</i> for first-time process innovators	351	334	0.061	1.969	0.004	0.050	0.995
Mean previous TFP for first-time process innovators	351	334	0.052	1.843	0.016	0.215	0.912

^a TFP differences (between both groups of SMEs) are calculated at the median of the distributions.

5. Do process innovations boost SMEs productivity growth?

If selection into introducing process innovations is endogenous, it is not appropriate to assess the impact of introducing process innovations on SMEs productivity growth by simply comparing the TFP growth of first-time process innovators and non-process innovators, since the ex-ante more productive first-time process innovators would experience higher productivity in the future even without introducing any process innovation. To properly control for the direction of causality from implementing process innovations to productivity growth, one needs to use a methodology that explicitly takes into account this endogenous selection process.¹¹

More formally, let Δy denote the growth rate of TFP and $D_{it} \in \{0, 1\}$ be an indicator of whether SME i is a first-time process innovator in period t (as opposed to a non-process innovator). Therefore, we can use $\Delta y_{i(t-1)+s}^1$ to define the TFP growth between $t-1$ and $(t-1)+s$, $s>0$, for SME i classified as first-time process innovator in t , and $\Delta y_{i(t-1)+s}^0$ as the TFP growth for SME i should it had not implemented any process innovation. Thus, the causal effect of implementing a first process innovation for SME i at time period $(t-1)+s$ may be defined as

$$\Delta y_{i(t-1)+s}^1 - \Delta y_{i(t-1)+s}^0 \quad (3)$$

Following the policy/treatment evaluation literature (see Heckman *et al.*, 1997), we may define the average effect of implementing a process innovation for the first time on SMEs productivity as

$$E(\Delta y_{i(t-1)+s}^1 - \Delta y_{i(t-1)+s}^0 \mid D_{it} = 1) = E(\Delta y_{i(t-1)+s}^1 \mid D_{it} = 1) - E(\Delta y_{i(t-1)+s}^0 \mid D_{it} = 1) \quad (4)$$

The main problem of causal inference is that in observational studies the counterfactual $\Delta y_{i(t-1)+s}^0$ for a SME that introduced a process innovation is not observed, and therefore it has to be generated. Thus, causal inference relies on the construction of the counterfactual for this term, which is the average productivity growth that first time-process innovators would have experienced had they not implemented any process innovation. We overcome this problem using matching techniques to identify, among the pool of non-process innovators in t , those with a distribution of observable variables (X in $t-1$) affecting productivity growth and the probability of implementing a process innovation, as similar as possible to that of first-time process innovators in $t-1$. It is then assumed that, conditional on X , SMEs with the same

¹¹ The advantage of using matching techniques to evaluate the impact of process innovations on productivity (i.e., that process innovations cause productivity growth) is that one explicitly accounts for the potential non-random selection process of introducing process innovations by firms. Therefore, with matching techniques we control for the potential endogeneity between process innovations and productivity allowing to isolate the causal effect. This is a very difficult task when using any other technique (even if one uses an instrumental variables approach).

characteristics have a random probability to implement a process innovation. Thus, $E(\Delta y_{i(t-1)+s}^1 - \Delta y_{i(t-1)+s}^0 \mid X_{it-1}, D_{it} = 1)$ in expression (4) may be rewritten as

$$E(\Delta y_{i(t-1)+s}^1 \mid X_{it-1}, D_{it} = 1) - E(\Delta y_{i(t-1)+s}^0 \mid X_{it-1}, D_{it} = 0) \quad (5)$$

Since the set of observable variables that may potentially affect the SMEs probability of implementing a process innovation and their productivity growth is quite large, we need to deal with the choice of the appropriate variables to match SMEs, and their appropriate weights. We solve this problem using the propensity score techniques proposed by Rosenbaum and Rubin (1983). Adapted to process innovations, it may be shown that if implementing a first process innovation is random conditioning upon X , it is also random conditioning on the probability of implementing a process innovation.

Therefore, before performing the matching procedure, we obtain the probability of implementing a process innovation for the first time (propensity score) as the predicted probability in the following probit model

$$P(D_{it} = 1) = F(X_{it-1}) \quad (6)$$

In our probit model, we control for past productivity and other lagged firm characteristics. The most important variable in the propensity score estimation is lagged productivity, as it allows controlling for the self selection of the most productive firms into process innovation activities. Further, by including other firm variables that could be linked to higher productivity we ensure that productivity growth differentials between firms introducing process innovations and their non-process innovators counterparts are driven by the introduction of process innovations.¹² Both the results from the probit and the set of observable characteristics included in X_{it-1} are in Table A.1 in the Appendix.

With the aim of ensuring the robustness of our results, to construct the counterfactual we have used three different types of matching, namely, nearest neighbours, radius matching and kernel matching. Nearest neighbours matches first-time process innovators with an average of the k non-process innovators with the closest propensity score. Radius matching matches first-time process innovators with an average of the non-process innovators within a given radius. Kernel matching matches first-time process innovators with a weighted average of some (all) non-process innovators, with weights inversely proportional to the distance between the propensity score of first-time process innovators and non-process innovators.¹³

¹² Our TFP index itself also allows controlling for industry factors that may condition firms' productivity. The TFP index of Good *et al.* (1996) and Delgado *et al.* (2002), by considering a different reference firm across industries eliminates possible differences in TFP across industries.

¹³ Matching is performed using the Stata *psmatch2* command (Leuven and Sianesi, 2003). Since we have previously estimated the propensity scores, p -values corresponding to the extra-productivity growth (EPG)

We compare, using matching techniques, the productivity growth of first-time process innovators and matched non-process innovators for the periods $t-1$ to t , t to $t+1$, $t+1$ to $t+2$, $t+2$ to $t+3$ and $t+3$ to $t+4$. Table 5 reports the results of these comparisons. To check the matching quality we present in Table 6 the indicators of the resulting balancing of the observable variables within the matched samples, in summary form.

Table 5: Estimates of extra productivity growth for first-time process innovators

Period	Matching method	EPG	s.e.	Obs
t-1/t	Nearest neighbours (A&I)	-0.0170	0.0138	345(1319)
	Nearest neighbours (ss)	-0.0170	0.0147	345(1319)
	Radius matching	-0.0185	0.0137	345(1319)
	Kernel matching	-0.0178	0.0136	345(1319)
t/t+1	Nearest neighbours (A&I)	0.0360*	0.0199	195(1319)
	Nearest neighbours (ss)	0.0360*	0.0219	195(1319)
	Radius matching	0.0357**	0.0206	195(1319)
	Kernel matching	0.0368**	0.0201	195(1319)
t+1/t+2	Nearest neighbours (A&I)	0.0483***	0.0164	132(1319)
	Nearest neighbours (ss)	0.0483***	0.0190	132(1319)
	Radius matching	0.0488***	0.0173	132(1319)
	Kernel matching	0.0484***	0.0170	132(1319)
t+2/t+3	Nearest neighbours (A&I)	0.0295**	0.0142	93(1319)
	Nearest neighbours (ss)	0.0295**	0.0149	93(1319)
	Radius matching	0.0291**	0.0151	93(1319)
	Kernel matching	0.0292**	0.0147	93(1319)
t+3/t+4	Nearest neighbours (A&I)	-0.0154	0.0200	68(1319)
	Nearest neighbours (ss)	-0.0154	0.0220	68(1319)
	Radius matching	-0.0151	0.0202	68(1319)
	Kernel matching	-0.0151	0.0201	68(1319)

Notes:

1. EPG stands for extra productivity growth of first-time process innovators over matched non-process innovators.
2. A&I means that standard errors have been calculated using Abadie and Imbens (2006) correction.
3. ss means that in the estimation with nearest neighbours we calculate sub-sampling based standard errors (2000 draws). For radius and kernel matching we use bootstrapped standard errors (2000 replications).
4. Kernel estimation uses the Epanechnikov Kernel.
5. Obs. stands for observations: number of first-time process innovators and number of control observations in parentheses imposing common support.
6. *, **, *** indicates significance at 10%, 5% and 1% level, respectively.

are calculated using bootstrapping techniques with 2000 replications for kernel and radius matching. However, Abadie and Imbens (2006) show that due to the extreme non-smoothness of nearest neighbours matching, the standard conditions for bootstrap are not satisfied, leading the bootstrap variance to diverge from the actual variance. This may be corrected either by subsampling (Politis *et al.*, 1999) or using the Stata *nnmatch* command (Abadie, Drukker, Herr and Imbens, 2004).

Table 6. Covariate balancing indicators before and after matching.

N and % lost to common support				
	N	% lost to common support		
t-1/t	345	0.575		
t/t+1	195	0.510		
t+1/t+2	132	0.752		
t+2/t+3	93	1.063		
t+3/t+4	68	1.449		
Probit pseudo R ²				
	Before	After		
		NN	Radius	Kernel
t-1/t	0.063	0.004	0.004	0.002
t/t+1	0.041	0.004	0.004	0.002
t+1/t+2	0.052	0.018	0.016	0.015
t+2/t+3	0.050	0.023	0.017	0.016
t+3/t+4	0.054	0.042	0.030	0.032
$P > \chi^2$				
	Before	After		
		NN	Radius	Kernel
t-1/t	0.000	0.931	0.918	0.986
t/t+1	0.000	0.985	0.988	0.999
t+1/t+2	0.000	0.672	0.772	0.795
t+2/t+3	0.000	0.747	0.882	0.897
t+3/t+4	0.000	0.537	0.776	0.744
Median				
	Before	After		
		NN	Radius	Kernel
t-1/t	25.336	3.682	1.713	2.667
t/t+1	17.947	2.743	2.421	1.985
t+1/t+2	25.147	6.667	4.340	6.101
t+2/t+3	26.196	7.334	5.954	4.472
t+3/t+4	23.807	13.994	8.418	6.340


Notes:

- (1) NN stands for nearest neighbours matching
- (2) The number of controls which are used to match treated is 1319.
- (3) Pseudo R² from probit of treatment (implementing a process innovation in our case) on covariates before matching and in matched samples (after matching).
- (4) $P > \chi^2$ is the p -value of the likelihood-ratio test after matching, testing the hypothesis that the regressors are jointly insignificant, i.e. that are well balanced in the two samples.
- (5) Median bias refers to median absolute standardised bias before and after matching, the median is calculated taking over all regressors. Following Rosenbaum and Rubin (1985), for a given covariate, the standardised difference before matching is the difference of the sample means in the full treated and non-treated subsamples as a percentage of the square root of the average of the sample variances in the full treated and non-treated groups. The standardised difference after matching is the difference of the sample means in the matched treated (i.e., inside the common support) and matched non-treated subsamples as a percentage of the square root of the average of the sample variances in the full treated and non-treated groups.
- (6) The % lost to common support is the share of the treated group falling outside of the common support, imposed at boundaries.

We may describe our results using Figure 3. In the vertical axis of this figure we represent TFP, and in the horizontal axis the sequence of time from $t-1$ onwards. The analysis of self-selection into the introduction of process innovations suggested that the previous TFP level of SMEs that eventually introduce a process innovation is higher than that of non-process innovators. As a result, the intercept of the first-time process

innovators (FTPI) line is higher than that of the non-process innovators (NPI) line ($A > A'$).

Figure 3: Summary of results.




Regardless of the matching procedure, our results suggest that implementing a process innovation for the first time does not guarantee immediate productivity rewards, thus between $t-1$ and t the slope (α) is the same for the FTPI and NPI lines. However, after its implementation, as operating experience is gained (i.e. with “learning-by-using” the new process), the process innovation is improved and developed and this in turn results in an extra productivity growth for process innovators in the subsequent periods $t/t+1$, $t+1$ to $t+2$ and $t+2$ to $t+3$. Thus, in Figure 3, the slope of the FTPI line for the subperiods t to $t+1$, $t+1$ to $t+2$ and $t+2$ to $t+3$ (β_1 , β_2 and β_3 , respectively) is greater than the slope of the NPI line (α). Further, this extra productivity growth that starts at 3.6% from t to $t+1$ reaches its maximum at 4.8% from $t+1$ to $t+2$, and then decreases to 2.9% from $t+2$ to $t+3$ (see Table 5). Therefore, in Figure 3 the slope of the FTPI line for the $t+1/t+2$ subperiod is higher than that corresponding to the $t/t+1$ and $t+2/t+3$ subperiods ($\beta_2 > \beta_1$ and $\beta_2 > \beta_3$). It is clear from Figure 3 that introducing a process innovation increases the productivity gap with respect to non process innovators.

Our results also imply that after 3 years from the introduction of the process innovation the extra TFP growth of FTPI ceases, and therefore, in Figure 3, the slope of FTPI and NPI lines is the same from $t+3$ onwards (regardless of the matching procedure, there is no difference between the productivity growth of FTPI and NPI). This result suggests that as the process innovation is fully developed and exploited

within the firm its potential for productivity improvement gradually fades away, so that the path of TFP growth both for process and non-process innovators converges.

Finally, Figure 4 illustrates how our results reveal an inverted U-shaped relationship between the extra productivity growth (in %) of FTPI over NPI, and the time elapsed from the introduction of a process innovation. This inverted U-shaped relationship suggests the following: (i) implementing a process innovation for the first time does not guarantee contemporaneous productivity rewards; (ii) the productivity gains require more than one year after implementing the process innovation to take place; and, (iii) the extra-productivity growth of first-time process innovators reaches its maximum two years after the introduction of the process innovation, then decreases for one extra period and ceases after four years.

Figure 4: Percentage of extra productivity growth for first-time process innovators over non-process innovators.



Note: NN stands for nearest-neighbours.

Our findings are consistent with existing empirical literature reporting a positive impact of process innovations on productivity growth. Parisi *et al.* (2006), using a large sample of Italian firms, provide evidence on the positive impact of the introduction of process innovations on productivity growth, although they cannot fully address the self-selection problems due to data restrictions. They found that the effect of the introduction of process innovations on productivity growth is positive over a period of three years. However, in their data, information on innovations is not available on a yearly basis but every three years. Thus, they cannot evaluate the time span of this effect. Gu and Tang (2003) provide empirical evidence, using a panel data sample of Canadian manufacturing industries, that (different measures of) innovations have a positive impact on productivity and that it takes from one to three years, depending on

the industry, for innovations to raise productivity. Lee and Kang (2007) also provide evidence on a positive impact of process innovations on productivity growth using a sample of Korean manufacturing firms. Griffith *et al.* (2006) estimate the structural model of Crépon *et al.* (1998) using data from manufacturing firms in four countries. They find that in the case of France the introduction of process innovations is on average associated to a 6% increase in labour productivity (measured as sales per worker). However, for manufacturing firms in Germany, Spain and the UK they do not find this positive relationship between process innovations and productivity. Notwithstanding, Griffith *et al.* (2006) note two shortcomings in their study: on the one hand, they acknowledge that the lack of association between process innovations and productivity for Germany, Spain and the UK could be due to the fact that they are measuring revenue productivity deflated using industry deflators, instead of firm specific deflators; on the other hand, as they only have cross-sectional data, they are only able to recover correlations, and these are not necessarily casual relationships.

In the case of Spain, Huergo and Jaumandreu (2004) analyzed the productivity growth impact of process innovations introduced by firms taking into account their different ages, and using a sample of Spanish manufacturing firms. They found that a process innovation induces (a contemporaneous) 1.5% extra productivity growth that tends to persist although attenuated, for 3 additional years.¹⁴ Rochina-Barrachina *et al.* (2008), using a sample of large and small Spanish manufacturing firms for the period 1991-1998, but without controlling for non-random selection into process innovation, provide evidence on a contemporaneous impact of process innovations on firm productivity growth, and found that this impact is larger and longer in the case of large firms. Thus, compared to the related literature analysing the impact of process innovations on productivity growth, when controlling for non-random selection into process innovation using matching techniques, we obtain a positive effect that it is not contemporaneous, but instead induces a one year delayed increase in productivity growth, which lasts three periods.

6. Conclusions and policy implications.

In this paper we have provided panel data evidence on the causal links between the introduction of process innovations and productivity growth for a sample of Spanish manufacturing SMEs. First, using stochastic dominance techniques, we have found evidence on the existence of non-random selection into the introduction of process

¹⁴ Huergo and Jaumandreu (2004) use the same dataset than ours but for the period 1990-1998 and including large firms. They measure productivity growth by means of the Solow residual and estimate a semiparametric model, with special focus on firm's age.

innovations. Therefore, in order to assess the impact of introducing process innovations on SMEs productivity growth, that is, to properly control for the direction of causality from implementing process innovations to productivity growth, we have used matching techniques, a methodology that explicitly takes into account this non-random selection process. We have found that the introduction of process innovations yields a delayed (not contemporaneous) extra productivity growth to a SME implementing a process innovation for the first time, as compared to a SME that does not introduce process innovations, and that the life span of this extra productivity growth has an inverted U-shaped form. Thus, our results suggest that process innovations may be considered as a strategic tool through which SMEs may enhance their competitive position in the market by boosting productivity growth. This is especially important as the competitive pressure intensifies as a result of increased globalization of markets.

These findings may serve to assist not only the design of management strategies but also more effective policies to promote SMEs. From a strategic management point of view, our results may be used to outline managerial recommendations relating the rate and timing of introducing process innovations as a strategic tool to boost productivity growth, and in turn, to improve the competitive position of the firm in the market. Our findings also suggest that public policy should be on support of innovative SMEs, and in particular, on undertaking and developing initiatives aimed at facilitating SMEs the introduction of process innovations, such as tax incentives, access to finance and grant schemes, and also incentives heading for the maintenance and improvement of SMEs skills to innovate and to adapt and develop new technologies. This issue is especially important in Europe since increasing the share of innovative SMEs in the overall industrial sector is one of Europe's major challenges.

REFERENCES

- Abadie, A., Drukker, D., Herr, J and G. W. Imbens, 2004, Implementing matching estimators for average treatment effects in Stata, *The Stata Journal*, 4(3), 290-311
- Abadie, A. and G.W. Imbens, 2006, On the Failure of the Bootstrap for Matching Estimators. NBER Technical Working Paper No. 325.
- Acs, Z. J. and Audretsch, D. B., 1990, *Innovation and Small Firms*, Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press.
- Aghion, P. and Howitt, P., 1992, "A model of growth through creative destruction", *Econometrica*, 60, 323-51.
- Baldwin, J. R., 1997, "The importance of research and development for innovation in small and large Canadian manufacturing firms", Research Paper 107, Analytical Studies Branch, Statistics Canada
- Buckley, J.P. and Mirza, H., 1997, "Introduction", in *International Technology Transfer by Small and Medium Sized Enterprises*, Buckley, J.P., Campos, J., Mirza, H., White, E. (eds.), Macmillan: Houndmills, UK, 1-5.
- Caves, D.W., L.R. Christensen and E. Diewert, 1982, 'Multilateral comparisons of output, input and productivity using superlative index numbers', *Economic Journal* 92, 73-86.
- Cohen, W. M. and Klepper, S. (1996). Firm size and the nature of innovation within industries: The case of process and product R&D. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 78, 232-243
- Crépon, B., Duguet, E. and Mairesse, J., 1998, "Research, Innovation and Productivity: An Econometric Analysis at the Firm Level", *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, 7, 115-158.
- Davies, S., 1979, *The Diffusion of Process Innovations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Delgado, M.J., J.C. Fariñas, and S. Ruano, 2002, "SMEs' productivity and the export markets," *Journal of International Economics*, 57, 397-422.
- Ericson, R. and Pakes, A. (1992), "An alternative theory of firm and industry dynamics", Cowles Foundation Discussion Papers 1041, Yale University.
- Ericson, R. and Pakes, A. (1995), "Markov-perfect industry dynamics: a framework for empirical work", *Review of Economic Studies*, 62, 53-82.
- González, X. and Jaumandreu, J. (1998), "Threshold effects in product R&D decisions: Theoretical framework and empirical analysis", *Studies on the Spanish Economy*, FEDEA.

- González, X., Jaumandreu, J. and Pazó, C., (1999), "Innovación, costes irrecuperables e incentivos a la I+D", *Papeles de Economía Española*, 81, 155-166.
- Good, D., Nadiri, I. M. & Sickles, R. (1996). Index number and factor demand approaches to the estimation of productivity. NBER working paper 5790.
- Griffith, R., Huergo, E., Mairesse, J. and Peters, B. (2006), 'Innovation and productivity across four European countries', *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* 22(4), pp. 483-498.
- Griliches, Z. (1979), "Issues in assessing the contribution of R&D to productivity growth", *Bell Journal of Economics*, 10, 92-116.
- Gu, W. and Tang, J. (2003). The link between innovation and productivity in Canadian manufacturing industries. Working Paper number 38, Industry Canada Research Publication Programme.
- Hall, B. (1990). The impact of corporate restructuring on industrial R&D. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity: Microeconomics*, 85-124.
- Handcock, M.S., and M. Morris, 1999, "Relative distribution methods in the social sciences", New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Heckman, J., H. Ichimura and P. Todd, 1997, "Matching as an econometric evaluation estimator", *Review of Economic Studies*, 65, 261-294.
- Huergo, E. and Jaumandreu, J. (2004), "Firm's age, process innovation and productivity growth", *International Journal of Industrial Organisation*, 22, 541-559.
- Klette, T. J. and Johansen, F. (1998). Accumulation of R&D capital and dynamic firm performance: A not-so-fixed effect model. *Annales d' Economie et de Statistique*, 49/50, 389-419.
- Lee, K., and Kang, S.M., 2007, "Innovation types and productivity growth: evidence from Korean manufacturing SMEs", *Global Economic Review*, 36, 343-359.
- Leuven, E. and B. Sianesi, 2003. "PSMATCH2: Stata module to perform full Mahalanobis and propensity score matching, common support graphing, and covariate imbalance testing", Statistical Software Components S432001, Boston College Department of Economics, revised 28 Dec 2006.
- Máñez, J.A., Rincón, A., Rochina, M.E. and Sanchis, J.A., 2005, "Productividad e I+D: Un análisis no paramétrico", *Revista de Economía Aplicada*, 39, 47-86.
- Máñez, J. A., Rochina, M. E., Sanchis, A. and Sanchis, J. A., 2009, "The role of sunk costs in the decision to invest in R&D", *Journal of Industrial Economics*, forthcoming.
- Pakes A. & Ericson, R. (1998), "Empirical implications of alternative models of firm dynamics", *Journal of Economic Theory*, 79, 1-45.

- Parisi, M. L., Schiantarelli, F. and Sembenelli, A. (2006), "Productivity, innovation and R&D: Micro evidence for Italy", *European Economic Review*, 50, 2037-2061.
- Pavitt, K., Robson, M., Townsend, J., 1987, "The size distribution of innovating firms in the UK: 145-983", *Journal of Industrial Economics*, 35, 91-316.
- Politis, D.N., Romano ,J.P., and Wolf, M., 1999, *Subsampling*, Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Rochina-Barrachina, M.E., Máñez, J.A. and Sanchis-Llopis J.A., 2008, "Process innovations and firm productivity growth", *Small Business Economics*, DOI 10.1007/s11187-008-9110-5.
- Romer, P., 1990, "Endogenous technological change", *Journal of Political Economy*, 98-102.
- Rosenbaum, P.R., and D. Rubin, 1983, "The central role of the propensity score in observational studies for causal effects", *Biometrika*, 70, 41-55.
- Rosenbaum, P.R., and Rubin, D.B., 1985, "Constructing a control group using multivariate matched sampling methods that incorporate the propensity score", *The American Statistician*, 39 (1), 33 - 38.
- Scherer, F.M., 1991, "Changing perspectives on the firm problem", in Acs, Z. and Audretsch, D. (eds.), *Innovation and Technological Change*, Harvester Wheatsheaf, New York.
- Schumpeter, J. A., 1934, *The Theory of Economic Development*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Schumpeter, J. A., 1942, *Capitalism, Socialism and Democracy*, New York: Harper and Row.
- Smolny, W. (1998). Innovations, prices and employment: A theoretical model and an empirical application for West German manufacturing firms. *The Journal of Industrial Economics*, 3, 359-381.
- Sutton, J. (1991). *Sunk Costs and Market Structure*. Massachussets: MIT Press.
- Verspagen, B. (1999). European Regional Clubs: Do They Exist and Where Are They Heading? On the Economic and Technological Differences between European Regions. (In J. Adams and F. Pigliaru (Eds.), *Economic Growth and Change: National and Regional Patterns of Convergence and Divergence*. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.)

Appendix

Table A.1. The determinants of becoming a first-time process innovator.

	Probit regression of the probability of becoming a first-time process innovator	
Export Intensity _{t-1}	0.1669	(0.055)***
Significant market share _{t-1}	0.0183	(0.022)
log(employment) _{t-1}	0.0384	(0.014)***
log(TFP) _{t-1}	0.1086	(0.042)***
Advertising intensity _{t-1}	0.9934	(0.686)
Foreign capital participation _{t-1}	-0.0022	(0.036)
Legal form _{t-1}	0.0578	(0.023)***
R&D Intensity _{t-1}	3.3588	(1.249)***
Complementary R&D activities _{t-1}	0.0832	(0.024)***
Age	-0.0159	(0.001)**
Number observations	1663	

Notes:

- (1) Marginal effects: * significant at 10%, ** significant at 5% and *** significant at 1%.
- (2) Robust standard errors in parentheses.
- (3) The regression includes year dummies and 2-digit industry dummies.

FUNDACIÓN DE LAS CAJAS DE AHORROS

DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO

Últimos números publicados

- | | |
|----------|--|
| 159/2000 | Participación privada en la construcción y explotación de carreteras de peaje
Ginés de Rus, Manuel Romero y Lourdes Trujillo |
| 160/2000 | Errores y posibles soluciones en la aplicación del <i>Value at Risk</i>
Mariano González Sánchez |
| 161/2000 | Tax neutrality on saving assets. The spanish case before and after the tax reform
Cristina Ruza y de Paz-Curbera |
| 162/2000 | Private rates of return to human capital in Spain: new evidence
F. Barceinas, J. Oliver-Alonso, J.L. Raymond y J.L. Roig-Sabaté |
| 163/2000 | El control interno del riesgo. Una propuesta de sistema de límites
riesgo neutral
Mariano González Sánchez |
| 164/2001 | La evolución de las políticas de gasto de las Administraciones Públicas en los años 90
Alfonso Utrilla de la Hoz y Carmen Pérez Esparrells |
| 165/2001 | Bank cost efficiency and output specification
Emili Tortosa-Ausina |
| 166/2001 | Recent trends in Spanish income distribution: A robust picture of falling income inequality
Josep Oliver-Alonso, Xavier Ramos y José Luis Raymond-Bara |
| 167/2001 | Efectos redistributivos y sobre el bienestar social del tratamiento de las cargas familiares en
el nuevo IRPF
Nuria Badenes Plá, Julio López Laborda, Jorge Onrubia Fernández |
| 168/2001 | The Effects of Bank Debt on Financial Structure of Small and Medium Firms in some Euro-
pean Countries
Mónica Melle-Hernández |
| 169/2001 | La política de cohesión de la UE ampliada: la perspectiva de España
Ismael Sanz Labrador |
| 170/2002 | Riesgo de liquidez de Mercado
Mariano González Sánchez |
| 171/2002 | Los costes de administración para el afiliado en los sistemas de pensiones basados en cuentas
de capitalización individual: medida y comparación internacional.
José Enrique Devesa Carpio, Rosa Rodríguez Barrera, Carlos Vidal Meliá |
| 172/2002 | La encuesta continua de presupuestos familiares (1985-1996): descripción, representatividad
y propuestas de metodología para la explotación de la información de los ingresos y el gasto.
Llorenç Pou, Joaquín Alegre |
| 173/2002 | Modelos paramétricos y no paramétricos en problemas de concesión de tarjetas de credito.
Rosa Puertas, María Bonilla, Ignacio Olmeda |

- 174/2002 Mercado único, comercio intra-industrial y costes de ajuste en las manufacturas españolas.
José Vicente Blanes Cristóbal
- 175/2003 La Administración tributaria en España. Un análisis de la gestión a través de los ingresos y de los gastos.
Juan de Dios Jiménez Aguilera, Pedro Enrique Barrilao González
- 176/2003 The Falling Share of Cash Payments in Spain.
Santiago Carbó Valverde, Rafael López del Paso, David B. Humphrey
Publicado en "Moneda y Crédito" nº 217, pags. 167-189.
- 177/2003 Effects of ATMs and Electronic Payments on Banking Costs: The Spanish Case.
Santiago Carbó Valverde, Rafael López del Paso, David B. Humphrey
- 178/2003 Factors explaining the interest margin in the banking sectors of the European Union.
Joaquín Maudos y Juan Fernández Guevara
- 179/2003 Los planes de stock options para directivos y consejeros y su valoración por el mercado de valores en España.
Mónica Melle Hernández
- 180/2003 Ownership and Performance in Europe and US Banking – A comparison of Commercial, Co-operative & Savings Banks.
Yener Altunbas, Santiago Carbó y Phil Molyneux
- 181/2003 The Euro effect on the integration of the European stock markets.
Mónica Melle Hernández
- 182/2004 In search of complementarity in the innovation strategy: international R&D and external knowledge acquisition.
Bruno Cassiman, Reinhilde Veugelers
- 183/2004 Fijación de precios en el sector público: una aplicación para el servicio municipal de suministro de agua.
M^a Ángeles García Valiñas
- 184/2004 Estimación de la economía sumergida en España: un modelo estructural de variables latentes.
Ángel Alañón Pardo, Miguel Gómez de Antonio
- 185/2004 Causas políticas y consecuencias sociales de la corrupción.
Joan Oriol Prats Cabrera
- 186/2004 Loan bankers' decisions and sensitivity to the audit report using the belief revision model.
Andrés Guiral Contreras and José A. Gonzalo Angulo
- 187/2004 El modelo de Black, Derman y Toy en la práctica. Aplicación al mercado español.
Marta Tolentino García-Abadillo y Antonio Díaz Pérez
- 188/2004 Does market competition make banks perform well?.
Mónica Melle
- 189/2004 Efficiency differences among banks: external, technical, internal, and managerial
Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey y Rafael López del Paso

- 190/2004 Una aproximación al análisis de los costes de la esquizofrenia en España: los modelos jerárquicos bayesianos
F. J. Vázquez-Polo, M. A. Negrín, J. M. Cavasés, E. Sánchez y grupo RIRAG
- 191/2004 Environmental proactivity and business performance: an empirical analysis
Javier González-Benito y Óscar González-Benito
- 192/2004 Economic risk to beneficiaries in notional defined contribution accounts (NDCs)
Carlos Vidal-Meliá, Inmaculada Domínguez-Fabian y José Enrique Devesa-Carpio
- 193/2004 Sources of efficiency gains in port reform: non parametric malmquist decomposition tfp index for Mexico
Antonio Estache, Beatriz Tovar de la Fé y Lourdes Trujillo
- 194/2004 Persistencia de resultados en los fondos de inversión españoles
Alfredo Ciriaco Fernández y Rafael Santamaría Aquilué
- 195/2005 El modelo de revisión de creencias como aproximación psicológica a la formación del juicio del auditor sobre la gestión continuada
Andrés Guiral Contreras y Francisco Esteso Sánchez
- 196/2005 La nueva financiación sanitaria en España: descentralización y prospectiva
David Cantarero Prieto
- 197/2005 A cointegration analysis of the Long-Run supply response of Spanish agriculture to the common agricultural policy
José A. Mendez, Ricardo Mora y Carlos San Juan
- 198/2005 ¿Refleja la estructura temporal de los tipos de interés del mercado español preferencia por la liquidez?
Magdalena Massot Perelló y Juan M. Nave
- 199/2005 Análisis de impacto de los Fondos Estructurales Europeos recibidos por una economía regional: Un enfoque a través de Matrices de Contabilidad Social
M. Carmen Lima y M. Alejandro Cardenete
- 200/2005 Does the development of non-cash payments affect monetary policy transmission?
Santiago Carbó Valverde y Rafael López del Paso
- 201/2005 Firm and time varying technical and allocative efficiency: an application for port cargo handling firms
Ana Rodríguez-Álvarez, Beatriz Tovar de la Fe y Lourdes Trujillo
- 202/2005 Contractual complexity in strategic alliances
Jeffrey J. Reuer y Africa Ariño
- 203/2005 Factores determinantes de la evolución del empleo en las empresas adquiridas por opa
Nuria Alcalde Fradejas y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
- 204/2005 Nonlinear Forecasting in Economics: a comparison between Comprehension Approach versus Learning Approach. An Application to Spanish Time Series
Elena Olmedo, Juan M. Valderas, Ricardo Gimeno and Lorenzo Escot

- 205/2005 Precio de la tierra con presión urbana: un modelo para España
Esther Decimavilla, Carlos San Juan y Stefan Sperlich
- 206/2005 Interregional migration in Spain: a semiparametric analysis
Adolfo Maza y José Villaverde
- 207/2005 Productivity growth in European banking
Carmen Murillo-Melchor, José Manuel Pastor y Emili Tortosa-Ausina
- 208/2005 Explaining Bank Cost Efficiency in Europe: Environmental and Productivity Influences.
Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey y Rafael López del Paso
- 209/2005 La elasticidad de sustitución intertemporal con preferencias no separables intratemporalmente: los casos de Alemania, España y Francia.
Elena Márquez de la Cruz, Ana R. Martínez Cañete y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
- 210/2005 Contribución de los efectos tamaño, book-to-market y momentum a la valoración de activos: el caso español.
Begoña Font-Belaire y Alfredo Juan Grau-Grau
- 211/2005 Permanent income, convergence and inequality among countries
José M. Pastor and Lorenzo Serrano
- 212/2005 The Latin Model of Welfare: Do ‘Insertion Contracts’ Reduce Long-Term Dependence?
Luis Ayala and Magdalena Rodríguez
- 213/2005 The effect of geographic expansion on the productivity of Spanish savings banks
Manuel Illueca, José M. Pastor and Emili Tortosa-Ausina
- 214/2005 Dynamic network interconnection under consumer switching costs
Ángel Luis López Rodríguez
- 215/2005 La influencia del entorno socioeconómico en la realización de estudios universitarios: una aproximación al caso español en la década de los noventa
Marta Rahona López
- 216/2005 The valuation of spanish ipos: efficiency analysis
Susana Álvarez Otero
- 217/2005 On the generation of a regular multi-input multi-output technology using parametric output distance functions
Sergio Perelman and Daniel Santin
- 218/2005 La gobernanza de los procesos parlamentarios: la organización industrial del congreso de los diputados en España
Gonzalo Caballero Miguez
- 219/2005 Determinants of bank market structure: Efficiency and political economy variables
Francisco González
- 220/2005 Agresividad de las órdenes introducidas en el mercado español: estrategias, determinantes y medidas de performance
David Abad Díaz

- 221/2005 Tendencia post-anuncio de resultados contables: evidencia para el mercado español
Carlos Forner Rodríguez, Joaquín Marhuenda Fructuoso y Sonia Sanabria García
- 222/2005 Human capital accumulation and geography: empirical evidence in the European Union
Jesús López-Rodríguez, J. Andrés Faiña y Jose Lopez Rodríguez
- 223/2005 Auditors' Forecasting in Going Concern Decisions: Framing, Confidence and Information Processing
Waymond Rodgers and Andrés Guiral
- 224/2005 The effect of Structural Fund spending on the Galician region: an assessment of the 1994-1999 and 2000-2006 Galician CSFs
José Ramón Cancelo de la Torre, J. Andrés Faiña and Jesús López-Rodríguez
- 225/2005 The effects of ownership structure and board composition on the audit committee activity: Spanish evidence
Carlos Fernández Méndez and Rubén Arrondo García
- 226/2005 Cross-country determinants of bank income smoothing by managing loan loss provisions
Ana Rosa Fonseca and Francisco González
- 227/2005 Incumplimiento fiscal en el irpf (1993-2000): un análisis de sus factores determinantes
Alejandro Estellér Moré
- 228/2005 Region versus Industry effects: volatility transmission
Pilar Soriano Felipe and Francisco J. Climent Diranzo
- 229/2005 Concurrent Engineering: The Moderating Effect Of Uncertainty On New Product Development Success
Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo and Sandra Valle
- 230/2005 On zero lower bound traps: a framework for the analysis of monetary policy in the 'age' of central banks
Alfonso Palacio-Vera
- 231/2005 Reconciling Sustainability and Discounting in Cost Benefit Analysis: a methodological proposal
M. Carmen Almansa Sáez and Javier Calatrava Requena
- 232/2005 Can The Excess Of Liquidity Affect The Effectiveness Of The European Monetary Policy?
Santiago Carbó Valverde and Rafael López del Paso
- 233/2005 Inheritance Taxes In The Eu Fiscal Systems: The Present Situation And Future Perspectives.
Miguel Angel Barberán Lahuerta
- 234/2006 Bank Ownership And Informativeness Of Earnings.
Víctor M. González
- 235/2006 Developing A Predictive Method: A Comparative Study Of The Partial Least Squares Vs Maximum Likelihood Techniques.
Waymond Rodgers, Paul Pavlou and Andres Guiral.
- 236/2006 Using Compromise Programming for Macroeconomic Policy Making in a General Equilibrium Framework: Theory and Application to the Spanish Economy.
Francisco J. André, M. Alejandro Cardenete y Carlos Romero.

- 237/2006 Bank Market Power And Sme Financing Constraints.
Santiago Carbó-Valverde, Francisco Rodríguez-Fernández y Gregory F. Udell.
- 238/2006 Trade Effects Of Monetary Agreements: Evidence For Oecd Countries.
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano.
- 239/2006 The Quality Of Institutions: A Genetic Programming Approach.
Marcos Álvarez-Díaz y Gonzalo Caballero Miguez.
- 240/2006 La interacción entre el éxito competitivo y las condiciones del mercado doméstico como determinantes de la decisión de exportación en las Pymes.
Francisco García Pérez.
- 241/2006 Una estimación de la depreciación del capital humano por sectores, por ocupación y en el tiempo.
Inés P. Murillo.
- 242/2006 Consumption And Leisure Externalities, Economic Growth And Equilibrium Efficiency.
Manuel A. Gómez.
- 243/2006 Measuring efficiency in education: an analysis of different approaches for incorporating non-discretionary inputs.
Jose Manuel Cordero-Ferrera, Francisco Pedraja-Chaparro y Javier Salinas-Jiménez
- 244/2006 Did The European Exchange-Rate Mechanism Contribute To The Integration Of Peripheral Countries?.
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
- 245/2006 Intergenerational Health Mobility: An Empirical Approach Based On The Echp.
Marta Pascual and David Cantarero
- 246/2006 Measurement and analysis of the Spanish Stock Exchange using the Lyapunov exponent with digital technology.
Salvador Rojí Ferrari and Ana Gonzalez Marcos
- 247/2006 Testing For Structural Breaks In Variance With additive Outliers And Measurement Errors.
Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
- 248/2006 The Cost Of Market Power In Banking: Social Welfare Loss Vs. Cost Inefficiency.
Joaquín Maudos and Juan Fernández de Guevara
- 249/2006 Elasticidades de largo plazo de la demanda de vivienda: evidencia para España (1885-2000).
Desiderio Romero Jordán, José Félix Sanz Sanz y César Pérez López
- 250/2006 Regional Income Disparities in Europe: What role for location?.
Jesús López-Rodríguez and J. Andrés Faíña
- 251/2006 Funciones abreviadas de bienestar social: Una forma sencilla de simultaneizar la medición de la eficiencia y la equidad de las políticas de gasto público.
Nuria Badenes Plá y Daniel Santín González
- 252/2006 “The momentum effect in the Spanish stock market: Omitted risk factors or investor behaviour?”.
Luis Muga and Rafael Santamaría
- 253/2006 Dinámica de precios en el mercado español de gasolina: un equilibrio de colusión tácita.
Jordi Perdigueró García

- 254/2006 Desigualdad regional en España: renta permanente versus renta corriente.
José M. Pastor, Empar Pons y Lorenzo Serrano
- 255/2006 Environmental implications of organic food preferences: an application of the impure public goods model.
Ana Maria Aldanondo-Ochoa y Carmen Almansa-Sáez
- 256/2006 Family tax credits versus family allowances when labour supply matters: Evidence for Spain.
José Felix Sanz-Sanz, Desiderio Romero-Jordán y Santiago Álvarez-García
- 257/2006 La internacionalización de la empresa manufacturera española: efectos del capital humano genérico y específico.
José López Rodríguez
- 258/2006 Evaluación de las migraciones interregionales en España, 1996-2004.
María Martínez Torres
- 259/2006 Efficiency and market power in Spanish banking.
Rolf Färe, Shawna Grosskopf y Emili Tortosa-Ausina.
- 260/2006 Asimetrías en volatilidad, beta y contagios entre las empresas grandes y pequeñas cotizadas en la bolsa española.
Helena Chuliá y Hipòlit Torró.
- 261/2006 Birth Replacement Ratios: New Measures of Period Population Replacement.
José Antonio Ortega.
- 262/2006 Accidentes de tráfico, víctimas mortales y consumo de alcohol.
José M^a Arranz y Ana I. Gil.
- 263/2006 Análisis de la Presencia de la Mujer en los Consejos de Administración de las Mil Mayores Empresas Españolas.
Ruth Mateos de Cabo, Lorenzo Escot Mangas y Ricardo Gimeno Nogués.
- 264/2006 Crisis y Reforma del Pacto de Estabilidad y Crecimiento. Las Limitaciones de la Política Económica en Europa.
Ignacio Álvarez Peralta.
- 265/2006 Have Child Tax Allowances Affected Family Size? A Microdata Study For Spain (1996-2000).
Jaime Vallés-Giménez y Anabel Zárate-Marco.
- 266/2006 Health Human Capital And The Shift From Foraging To Farming.
Paolo Rungo.
- 267/2006 Financiación Autonómica y Política de la Competencia: El Mercado de Gasolina en Canarias.
Juan Luis Jiménez y Jordi Perdiguero.
- 268/2006 El cumplimiento del Protocolo de Kyoto para los hogares españoles: el papel de la imposición sobre la energía.
Desiderio Romero-Jordán y José Félix Sanz-Sanz.
- 269/2006 Banking competition, financial dependence and economic growth
Joaquín Maudos y Juan Fernández de Guevara
- 270/2006 Efficiency, subsidies and environmental adaptation of animal farming under CAP
Werner Kleinhanß, Carmen Murillo, Carlos San Juan y Stefan Sperlich

- 271/2006 Interest Groups, Incentives to Cooperation and Decision-Making Process in the European Union
A. Garcia-Lorenzo y Jesús López-Rodríguez
- 272/2006 Riesgo asimétrico y estrategias de momentum en el mercado de valores español
Luis Muga y Rafael Santamaría
- 273/2006 Valoración de capital-riesgo en proyectos de base tecnológica e innovadora a través de la teoría de opciones reales
Gracia Rubio Martín
- 274/2006 Capital stock and unemployment: searching for the missing link
Ana Rosa Martínez-Cañete, Elena Márquez de la Cruz, Alfonso Palacio-Vera and Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
- 275/2006 Study of the influence of the voters' political culture on vote decision through the simulation of a political competition problem in Spain
Sagrario Lantarón, Isabel Lillo, M^a Dolores López and Javier Rodrigo
- 276/2006 Investment and growth in Europe during the Golden Age
Antonio Cubel and M^a Teresa Sanchis
- 277/2006 Efectos de vincular la pensión pública a la inversión en cantidad y calidad de hijos en un modelo de equilibrio general
Robert Meneu Gaya
- 278/2006 El consumo y la valoración de activos
Elena Márquez y Belén Nieto
- 279/2006 Economic growth and currency crisis: A real exchange rate entropic approach
David Matesanz Gómez y Guillermo J. Ortega
- 280/2006 Three measures of returns to education: An illustration for the case of Spain
María Arrazola y José de Hevia
- 281/2006 Composition of Firms versus Composition of Jobs
Antoni Cunyat
- 282/2006 La vocación internacional de un holding tranviario belga: la Compagnie Mutuelle de Tramways, 1895-1918
Alberte Martínez López
- 283/2006 Una visión panorámica de las entidades de crédito en España en la última década.
Constantino García Ramos
- 284/2006 Foreign Capital and Business Strategies: a comparative analysis of urban transport in Madrid and Barcelona, 1871-1925
Alberte Martínez López
- 285/2006 Los intereses belgas en la red ferroviaria catalana, 1890-1936
Alberte Martínez López
- 286/2006 The Governance of Quality: The Case of the Agrifood Brand Names
Marta Fernández Barcala, Manuel González-Díaz y Emmanuel Raynaud
- 287/2006 Modelling the role of health status in the transition out of malthusian equilibrium
Paolo Rungo, Luis Currais and Berta Rivera
- 288/2006 Industrial Effects of Climate Change Policies through the EU Emissions Trading Scheme
Xavier Labandeira and Miguel Rodríguez

- 289/2006 Globalisation and the Composition of Government Spending: An analysis for OECD countries
Norman Gemmell, Richard Kneller and Ismael Sanz
- 290/2006 La producción de energía eléctrica en España: Análisis económico de la actividad tras la liberalización del Sector Eléctrico
Fernando Hernández Martínez
- 291/2006 Further considerations on the link between adjustment costs and the productivity of R&D investment: evidence for Spain
Desiderio Romero-Jordán, José Félix Sanz-Sanz and Inmaculada Álvarez-Ayuso
- 292/2006 Una teoría sobre la contribución de la función de compras al rendimiento empresarial
Javier González Benito
- 293/2006 Agility drivers, enablers and outcomes: empirical test of an integrated agile manufacturing model
Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo, Lucía Avella and Esteban Fernández
- 294/2006 Testing the parametric vs the semiparametric generalized mixed effects models
María José Lombardía and Stefan Sperlich
- 295/2006 Nonlinear dynamics in energy futures
Mariano Matilla-García
- 296/2006 Estimating Spatial Models By Generalized Maximum Entropy Or How To Get Rid Of W
Esteban Fernández Vázquez, Matías Mayor Fernández and Jorge Rodríguez-Valez
- 297/2006 Optimización fiscal en las transmisiones lucrativas: análisis metodológico
Félix Domínguez Barrero
- 298/2006 La situación actual de la banca online en España
Francisco José Climent Diranzo y Alexandre Momparler Pechuán
- 299/2006 Estrategia competitiva y rendimiento del negocio: el papel mediador de la estrategia y las capacidades productivas
Javier González Benito y Isabel Suárez González
- 300/2006 A Parametric Model to Estimate Risk in a Fixed Income Portfolio
Pilar Abad and Sonia Benito
- 301/2007 Análisis Empírico de las Preferencias Sociales Respecto del Gasto en Obra Social de las Cajas de Ahorros
Alejandro Esteller-Moré, Jonathan Jorba Jiménez y Albert Solé-Ollé
- 302/2007 Assessing the enlargement and deepening of regional trading blocs: The European Union case
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
- 303/2007 ¿Es la Franquicia un Medio de Financiación?: Evidencia para el Caso Español
Vanessa Solís Rodríguez y Manuel González Díaz
- 304/2007 On the Finite-Sample Biases in Nonparametric Testing for Variance Constancy
Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
- 305/2007 Spain is Different: Relative Wages 1989-98
José Antonio Carrasco Gallego

- 306/2007 Poverty reduction and SAM multipliers: An evaluation of public policies in a regional framework
Francisco Javier De Miguel-Vélez y Jesús Pérez-Mayo
- 307/2007 La Eficiencia en la Gestión del Riesgo de Crédito en las Cajas de Ahorro
Marcelino Martínez Cabrera
- 308/2007 Optimal environmental policy in transport: unintended effects on consumers' generalized price
M. Pilar Socorro and Ofelia Betancor
- 309/2007 Agricultural Productivity in the European Regions: Trends and Explanatory Factors
Roberto Ezcurra, Belen Iraizoz, Pedro Pascual and Manuel Rapún
- 310/2007 Long-run Regional Population Divergence and Modern Economic Growth in Europe: a Case Study of Spain
María Isabel Ayuda, Fernando Collantes and Vicente Pinilla
- 311/2007 Financial Information effects on the measurement of Commercial Banks' Efficiency
Borja Amor, María T. Tascón and José L. Fanjul
- 312/2007 Neutralidad e incentivos de las inversiones financieras en el nuevo IRPF
Félix Domínguez Barrero
- 313/2007 The Effects of Corporate Social Responsibility Perceptions on The Valuation of Common Stock
Waymond Rodgers , Helen Choy and Andres Guiral-Contreras
- 314/2007 Country Creditor Rights, Information Sharing and Commercial Banks' Profitability Persistence across the world
Borja Amor, María T. Tascón and José L. Fanjul
- 315/2007 ¿Es Relevante el Déficit Corriente en una Unión Monetaria? El Caso Español
Javier Blanco González y Ignacio del Rosal Fernández
- 316/2007 The Impact of Credit Rating Announcements on Spanish Corporate Fixed Income Performance: Returns, Yields and Liquidity
Pilar Abad, Antonio Díaz and M. Dolores Robles
- 317/2007 Indicadores de Lealtad al Establecimiento y Formato Comercial Basados en la Distribución del Presupuesto
Cesar Augusto Bustos Reyes y Óscar González Benito
- 318/2007 Migrants and Market Potential in Spain over The XXth Century: A Test Of The New Economic Geography
Daniel A. Tirado, Jordi Pons, Elisenda Paluzie and Javier Silvestre
- 319/2007 El Impacto del Coste de Oportunidad de la Actividad Emprendedora en la Intención de los Ciudadanos Europeos de Crear Empresas
Luis Miguel Zapico Aldeano
- 320/2007 Los belgas y los ferrocarriles de vía estrecha en España, 1887-1936
Alberte Martínez López
- 321/2007 Competición política bipartidista. Estudio geométrico del equilibrio en un caso ponderado
Isabel Lillo, M^a Dolores López y Javier Rodrigo
- 322/2007 Human resource management and environment management systems: an empirical study
M^a Concepción López Fernández, Ana M^a Serrano Bedia and Gema García Piqueres

- 323/2007 Wood and industrialization. evidence and hypotheses from the case of Spain, 1860-1935.
Iñaki Iriarte-Goñi and María Isabel Ayuda Bosque
- 324/2007 New evidence on long-run monetary neutrality.
J. Cunado, L.A. Gil-Alana and F. Perez de Gracia
- 325/2007 Monetary policy and structural changes in the volatility of us interest rates.
Juncal Cuñado, Javier Gomez Biscarri and Fernando Perez de Gracia
- 326/2007 The productivity effects of intrafirm diffusion.
Lucio Fuentelsaz, Jaime Gómez and Sergio Palomas
- 327/2007 Unemployment duration, layoffs and competing risks.
J.M. Arranz, C. García-Serrano and L. Toharia
- 328/2007 El grado de cobertura del gasto público en España respecto a la UE-15
Nuria Rueda, Begoña Barruso, Carmen Calderón y M^a del Mar Herrador
- 329/2007 The Impact of Direct Subsidies in Spain before and after the CAP'92 Reform
Carmen Murillo, Carlos San Juan and Stefan Sperlich
- 330/2007 Determinants of post-privatisation performance of Spanish divested firms
Laura Cabeza García and Silvia Gómez Ansón
- 331/2007 ¿Por qué deciden diversificar las empresas españolas? Razones oportunistas versus razones económicas
Almudena Martínez Campillo
- 332/2007 Dynamical Hierarchical Tree in Currency Markets
Juan Gabriel Brida, David Matesanz Gómez and Wiston Adrián Risso
- 333/2007 Los determinantes sociodemográficos del gasto sanitario. Análisis con microdatos individuales
Ana María Angulo, Ramón Barberán, Pilar Egea y Jesús Mur
- 334/2007 Why do companies go private? The Spanish case
Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
- 335/2007 The use of gis to study transport for disabled people
Verónica Cañal Fernández
- 336/2007 The long run consequences of M&A: An empirical application
Cristina Bernad, Lucio Fuentelsaz and Jaime Gómez
- 337/2007 Las clasificaciones de materias en economía: principios para el desarrollo de una nueva clasificación
Valentín Edo Hernández
- 338/2007 Reforming Taxes and Improving Health: A Revenue-Neutral Tax Reform to Eliminate Medical and Pharmaceutical VAT
Santiago Álvarez-García, Carlos Pestana Barros y Juan Prieto-Rodriguez
- 339/2007 Impacts of an iron and steel plant on residential property values
Celia Bilbao-Terol
- 340/2007 Firm size and capital structure: Evidence using dynamic panel data
Victor M. González and Francisco González

- 341/2007 ¿Cómo organizar una cadena hotelera? La elección de la forma de gobierno
Marta Fernández Barcala y Manuel González Díaz
- 342/2007 Análisis de los efectos de la decisión de diversificar: un contraste del marco teórico “Agencia-Stewardship”
Almudena Martínez Campillo y Roberto Fernández Gago
- 343/2007 Selecting portfolios given multiple eurostoxx-based uncertainty scenarios: a stochastic goal programming approach from fuzzy betas
Enrique Ballester, Blanca Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra and Amelia Bilbao-Terol
- 344/2007 “El bienestar de los inmigrantes y los factores implicados en la decisión de emigrar”
Anastasia Hernández Alemán y Carmelo J. León
- 345/2007 Governance Decisions in the R&D Process: An Integrative Framework Based on TCT and Knowledge View of The Firm.
Andrea Martínez-Noya and Esteban García-Canal
- 346/2007 Diferencias salariales entre empresas públicas y privadas. El caso español
Begoña Cueto y Nuria Sánchez- Sánchez
- 347/2007 Effects of Fiscal Treatments of Second Home Ownership on Renting Supply
Celia Bilbao Terol and Juan Prieto Rodríguez
- 348/2007 Auditors’ ethical dilemmas in the going concern evaluation
Andres Guiral, Waymond Rodgers, Emiliano Ruiz and Jose A. Gonzalo
- 349/2007 Convergencia en capital humano en España. Un análisis regional para el periodo 1970-2004
Susana Morales Sequera y Carmen Pérez Esparrells
- 350/2007 Socially responsible investment: mutual funds portfolio selection using fuzzy multiobjective programming
Blanca M^a Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra , Amelia Bilbao-Terol and M^a Victoria Rodríguez-Uría
- 351/2007 Persistencia del resultado contable y sus componentes: implicaciones de la medida de ajustes por devengo
Raúl Iñiguez Sánchez y Francisco Poveda Fuentes
- 352/2007 Wage Inequality and Globalisation: What can we Learn from the Past? A General Equilibrium Approach
Concha Betrán, Javier Ferri and Maria A. Pons
- 353/2007 Eficacia de los incentivos fiscales a la inversión en I+D en España en los años noventa
Desiderio Romero Jordán y José Félix Sanz Sanz
- 354/2007 Convergencia regional en renta y bienestar en España
Robert Meneu Gaya
- 355/2007 Tributación ambiental: Estado de la Cuestión y Experiencia en España
Ana Carrera Poncela
- 356/2007 Salient features of dependence in daily us stock market indices
Luis A. Gil-Alana, Juncal Cuñado and Fernando Pérez de Gracia
- 357/2007 La educación superior: ¿un gasto o una inversión rentable para el sector público?
Inés P. Murillo y Francisco Pedraja

- 358/2007 Effects of a reduction of working hours on a model with job creation and job destruction
Emilio Domínguez, Miren Ullibarri y Idoia Zabaleta
- 359/2007 Stock split size, signaling and earnings management: Evidence from the Spanish market
José Yagüe, J. Carlos Gómez-Sala and Francisco Poveda-Fuentes
- 360/2007 Modelización de las expectativas y estrategias de inversión en mercados de derivados
Begoña Font-Belaire
- 361/2008 Trade in capital goods during the golden age, 1953-1973
M^a Teresa Sanchis and Antonio Cubel
- 362/2008 El capital económico por riesgo operacional: una aplicación del modelo de distribución de pérdidas
Enrique José Jiménez Rodríguez y José Manuel Fera Domínguez
- 363/2008 The drivers of effectiveness in competition policy
Joan-Ramon Borrell and Juan-Luis Jiménez
- 364/2008 Corporate governance structure and board of directors remuneration policies: evidence from Spain
Carlos Fernández Méndez, Rubén Arrondo García and Enrique Fernández Rodríguez
- 365/2008 Beyond the disciplinary role of governance: how boards and donors add value to Spanish foundations
Pablo De Andrés Alonso, Valentín Azofra Palenzuela y M. Elena Romero Merino
- 366/2008 Complejidad y perfeccionamiento contractual para la contención del oportunismo en los acuerdos de franquicia
Vanesa Solís Rodríguez y Manuel González Díaz
- 367/2008 Inestabilidad y convergencia entre las regiones europeas
Jesús Mur, Fernando López y Ana Angulo
- 368/2008 Análisis espacial del cierre de explotaciones agrarias
Ana Aldanondo Ochoa, Carmen Almansa Sáez y Valero Casanovas Oliva
- 369/2008 Cross-Country Efficiency Comparison between Italian and Spanish Public Universities in the period 2000-2005
Tommaso Agasisti and Carmen Pérez Esparrells
- 370/2008 El desarrollo de la sociedad de la información en España: un análisis por comunidades autónomas
María Concepción García Jiménez y José Luis Gómez Barroso
- 371/2008 El medioambiente y los objetivos de fabricación: un análisis de los modelos estratégicos para su consecución
Lucía Avella Camarero, Esteban Fernández Sánchez y Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo
- 372/2008 Influence of bank concentration and institutions on capital structure: New international evidence
Víctor M. González and Francisco González
- 373/2008 Generalización del concepto de equilibrio en juegos de competición política
M^a Dolores López González y Javier Rodrigo Hitos
- 374/2008 Smooth Transition from Fixed Effects to Mixed Effects Models in Multi-level regression Models
María José Lombardía and Stefan Sperlich

- 375/2008 A Revenue-Neutral Tax Reform to Increase Demand for Public Transport Services
Carlos Pestana Barros and Juan Prieto-Rodriguez
- 376/2008 Measurement of intra-distribution dynamics: An application of different approaches to the European regions
Adolfo Maza, María Hierro and José Villaverde
- 377/2008 Migración interna de extranjeros y ¿nueva fase en la convergencia?
María Hierro y Adolfo Maza
- 378/2008 Efectos de la Reforma del Sector Eléctrico: Modelización Teórica y Experiencia Internacional
Ciro Eduardo Bazán Navarro
- 379/2008 A Non-Parametric Independence Test Using Permutation Entropy
Mariano Matilla-García and Manuel Ruiz Marín
- 380/2008 Testing for the General Fractional Unit Root Hypothesis in the Time Domain
Uwe Hassler, Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
- 381/2008 Multivariate gram-charlier densities
Esther B. Del Brio, Trino-Manuel Níguez and Javier Perote
- 382/2008 Analyzing Semiparametrically the Trends in the Gender Pay Gap - The Example of Spain
Ignacio Moral-Arce, Stefan Sperlich, Ana I. Fernández-Saínz and Maria J. Roca
- 383/2008 A Cost-Benefit Analysis of a Two-Sided Card Market
Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey, José Manuel Liñares Zegarra and Francisco Rodríguez Fernandez
- 384/2008 A Fuzzy Bicriteria Approach for Journal Deselection in a Hospital Library
M. L. López-Avello, M. V. Rodríguez-Uría, B. Pérez-Gladish, A. Bilbao-Terol, M. Arenas-Parra
- 385/2008 Valoración de las grandes corporaciones farmacéuticas, a través del análisis de sus principales intangibles, con el método de opciones reales
Gracia Rubio Martín y Prosper Lamothe Fernández
- 386/2008 El marketing interno como impulsor de las habilidades comerciales de las pyme españolas: efectos en los resultados empresariales
M^a Leticia Santos Vijande, M^a José Sanzo Pérez, Nuria García Rodríguez y Juan A. Trespalacios Gutiérrez
- 387/2008 Understanding Warrants Pricing: A case study of the financial market in Spain
David Abad y Belén Nieto
- 388/2008 Aglomeración espacial, Potencial de Mercado y Geografía Económica: Una revisión de la literatura
Jesús López-Rodríguez y J. Andrés Faíña
- 389/2008 An empirical assessment of the impact of switching costs and first mover advantages on firm performance
Jaime Gómez, Juan Pablo Maícas
- 390/2008 Tender offers in Spain: testing the wave
Ana R. Martínez-Cañete y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar

- 391/2008 La integración del mercado español a finales del siglo XIX: los precios del trigo entre 1891 y 1905
Mariano Matilla García, Pedro Pérez Pascual y Basilio Sanz Carnero
- 392/2008 Cuando el tamaño importa: estudio sobre la influencia de los sujetos políticos en la balanza de bienes y servicios
Alfonso Echazarra de Gregorio
- 393/2008 Una visión cooperativa de las medidas ante el posible daño ambiental de la desalación
Borja Montaña Sanz
- 394/2008 Efectos externos del endeudamiento sobre la calificación crediticia de las Comunidades Autónomas
Andrés Leal Marcos y Julio López Laborda
- 395/2008 Technical efficiency and productivity changes in Spanish airports: A parametric distance functions approach
Beatriz Tovar & Roberto Rendeiro Martín-Cejas
- 396/2008 Network analysis of exchange data: Interdependence drives crisis contagion
David Matesanz Gómez & Guillermo J. Ortega
- 397/2008 Explaining the performance of Spanish privatised firms: a panel data approach
Laura Cabeza Garcia and Silvia Gomez Anson
- 398/2008 Technological capabilities and the decision to outsource R&D services
Andrea Martínez-Noya and Esteban García-Canal
- 399/2008 Hybrid Risk Adjustment for Pharmaceutical Benefits
Manuel García-Goñi, Pere Ibern & José María Inoriza
- 400/2008 The Team Consensus–Performance Relationship and the Moderating Role of Team Diversity
José Henríque Dieguez, Javier González-Benito and Jesús Galende
- 401/2008 The institutional determinants of CO₂ emissions: A computational modelling approach using Artificial Neural Networks and Genetic Programming
Marcos Álvarez-Díaz , Gonzalo Caballero Miguez and Mario Soliño
- 402/2008 Alternative Approaches to Include Exogenous Variables in DEA Measures: A Comparison Using Monte Carlo
José Manuel Cordero-Ferrera, Francisco Pedraja-Chaparro and Daniel Santín-González
- 403/2008 Efecto diferencial del capital humano en el crecimiento económico andaluz entre 1985 y 2004: comparación con el resto de España
M^a del Pópulo Pablo-Romero Gil-Delgado y M^a de la Palma Gómez-Calero Valdés
- 404/2008 Análisis de fusiones, variaciones conjeturales y la falacia del estimador en diferencias
Juan Luis Jiménez y Jordi Perdigüero
- 405/2008 Política fiscal en la ue: ¿basta con los estabilizadores automáticos?
Jorge Uxó González y M^a Jesús Arroyo Fernández
- 406/2008 Papel de la orientación emprendedora y la orientación al mercado en el éxito de las empresas
Óscar González-Benito, Javier González-Benito y Pablo A. Muñoz-Gallego
- 407/2008 La presión fiscal por impuesto sobre sociedades en la unión europea
Elena Fernández Rodríguez, Antonio Martínez Arias y Santiago Álvarez García

- 408/2008 The environment as a determinant factor of the purchasing and supply strategy: an empirical analysis
Dr. Javier González-Benito y MS Duilio Reis da Rocha
- 409/2008 Cooperation for innovation: the impact on innovatory effort
Gloria Sánchez González and Liliana Herrera
- 410/2008 Spanish post-earnings announcement drift and behavioral finance models
Carlos Forner and Sonia Sanabria
- 411/2008 Decision taking with external pressure: evidence on football manager dismissals in argentina and their consequences
Ramón Flores, David Forrest and Juan de Dios Tena
- 412/2008 Comercio agrario latinoamericano, 1963-2000: aplicación de la ecuación gravitacional para flujos desagregados de comercio
Raúl Serrano y Vicente Pinilla
- 413/2008 Voter heuristics in Spain: a descriptive approach elector decision
José Luís Sáez Lozano and Antonio M. Jaime Castillo
- 414/2008 Análisis del efecto área de salud de residencia sobre la utilización y acceso a los servicios sanitarios en la Comunidad Autónoma Canaria
Ignacio Abásolo Alessón, Lidia García Pérez, Raquel Aguiar Ibáñez y Asier Amador Robayna
- 415/2008 Impact on competitive balance from allowing foreign players in a sports league: an analytical model and an empirical test
Ramón Flores, David Forrest & Juan de Dios Tena
- 416/2008 Organizational innovation and productivity growth: Assessing the impact of outsourcing on firm performance
Alberto López
- 417/2008 Value Efficiency Analysis of Health Systems
Eduardo González, Ana Cárcaba & Juan Ventura
- 418/2008 Equidad en la utilización de servicios sanitarios públicos por comunidades autónomas en España: un análisis multinivel
Ignacio Abásolo, Jaime Pinilla, Miguel Negrín, Raquel Aguiar y Lidia García
- 419/2008 Piedras en el camino hacia Bolonia: efectos de la implantación del EEES sobre los resultados académicos
Carmen Florido, Juan Luis Jiménez e Isabel Santana
- 420/2008 The welfare effects of the allocation of airlines to different terminals
M. Pilar Socorro and Ofelia Betancor
- 421/2008 How bank capital buffers vary across countries. The influence of cost of deposits, market power and bank regulation
Ana Rosa Fonseca and Francisco González
- 422/2008 Analysing health limitations in Spain: an empirical approach based on the European Community household panel
Marta Pascual and David Cantarero

- 423/2008 Regional productivity variation and the impact of public capital stock: an analysis with spatial interaction, with reference to Spain
Miguel Gómez-Antonio and Bernard Fingleton
- 424/2008 Average effect of training programs on the time needed to find a job. The case of the training schools program in the south of Spain (Seville, 1997-1999).
José Manuel Cansino Muñoz-Repiso and Antonio Sánchez Braza
- 425/2008 Medición de la eficiencia y cambio en la productividad de las empresas distribuidoras de electricidad en Perú después de las reformas
Raúl Pérez-Reyes y Beatriz Tovar
- 426/2008 Acercando posturas sobre el descuento ambiental: sondeo Delphi a expertos en el ámbito internacional
Carmen Almansa Sáez y José Miguel Martínez Paz
- 427/2008 Determinants of abnormal liquidity after rating actions in the Corporate Debt Market
Pilar Abad, Antonio Díaz and M. Dolores Robles
- 428/2008 Export led-growth and balance of payments constrained. New formalization applied to Cuban commercial regimes since 1960
David Matesanz Gómez, Guadalupe Fugarolas Álvarez-Ude and Isis Mañalich Gálvez
- 429/2008 La deuda implícita y el desequilibrio financiero-actuarial de un sistema de pensiones. El caso del régimen general de la seguridad social en España
José Enrique Devesa Carpio y Mar Devesa Carpio
- 430/2008 Efectos de la descentralización fiscal sobre el precio de los carburantes en España
Desiderio Romero Jordán, Marta Jorge García-Inés y Santiago Álvarez García
- 431/2008 Euro, firm size and export behavior
Silviano Esteve-Pérez, Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero and José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
- 432/2008 Does social spending increase support for free trade in advanced democracies?
Ismael Sanz, Ferran Martínez i Coma and Federico Steinberg
- 433/2008 Potencial de Mercado y Estructura Espacial de Salarios: El Caso de Colombia
Jesús López-Rodríguez y Maria Cecilia Acevedo
- 434/2008 Persistence in Some Energy Futures Markets
Juncal Cunado, Luis A. Gil-Alana and Fernando Pérez de Gracia
- 435/2008 La inserción financiera externa de la economía francesa: inversores institucionales y nueva gestión empresarial
Ignacio Álvarez Peralta
- 436/2008 ¿Flexibilidad o rigidez salarial en España?: un análisis a escala regional
Ignacio Moral Arce y Adolfo Maza Fernández
- 437/2009 Intangible relationship-specific investments and the performance of r&d outsourcing agreements
Andrea Martínez-Noya, Esteban García-Canal & Mauro F. Guillén
- 438/2009 Friendly or Controlling Boards?
Pablo de Andrés Alonso & Juan Antonio Rodríguez Sanz

- 439/2009 La sociedad Trenor y Cía. (1838-1926): un modelo de negocio industrial en la España del siglo XIX
Amparo Ruiz Llopis
- 440/2009 Continental bias in trade
Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero & José Antonio Martínez Serrano
- 441/2009 Determining operational capital at risk: an empirical application to the retail banking
Enrique José Jiménez-Rodríguez, José Manuel Fera-Domínguez & José Luis Martín-Marín
- 442/2009 Costes de mitigación y escenarios post-kyoto en España: un análisis de equilibrio general para España
Mikel González Ruiz de Eguino
- 443/2009 Las revistas españolas de economía en las bibliotecas universitarias: ranking, valoración del indicador y del sistema
Valentín Edo Hernández
- 444/2009 Convergencia económica en España y coordinación de políticas económicas. un estudio basado en la estructura productiva de las CC.AA.
Ana Cristina Mingorance Arnáiz
- 445/2009 Instrumentos de mercado para reducir emisiones de co2: un análisis de equilibrio general para España
Mikel González Ruiz de Eguino
- 446/2009 El comercio intra e inter-regional del sector Turismo en España
Carlos Llano y Tamara de la Mata
- 447/2009 Efectos del incremento del precio del petróleo en la economía española: Análisis de cointegración y de la política monetaria mediante reglas de Taylor
Fernando Hernández Martínez
- 448/2009 Bologna Process and Expenditure on Higher Education: A Convergence Analysis of the EU-15
T. Agasisti, C. Pérez Esparrells, G. Catalano & S. Morales
- 449/2009 Global Economy Dynamics? Panel Data Approach to Spillover Effects
Gregory Daco, Fernando Hernández Martínez & Li-Wu Hsu
- 450/2009 Pricing levered warrants with dilution using observable variables
Isabel Abinzano & Javier F. Navas
- 451/2009 Information technologies and financial prformance: The effect of technology diffusion among competitors
Lucio Fuentelsaz, Jaime Gómez & Sergio Palomas
- 452/2009 A Detailed Comparison of Value at Risk in International Stock Exchanges
Pilar Abad & Sonia Benito
- 453/2009 Understanding offshoring: has Spain been an offshoring location in the nineties?
Belén González-Díaz & Rosario Gandoy
- 454/2009 Outsourcing decision, product innovation and the spatial dimension: Evidence from the Spanish footwear industry
José Antonio Belso-Martínez

- 455/2009 Does playing several competitions influence a team's league performance? Evidence from Spanish professional football
Andrés J. Picazo-Tadeo & Francisco González-Gómez
- 456/2009 Does accessibility affect retail prices and competition? An empirical application
Juan Luis Jiménez and Jordi Perdigüero
- 457/2009 Cash conversion cycle in smes
Sonia Baños-Caballero, Pedro J. García-Teruel and Pedro Martínez-Solano
- 458/2009 Un estudio sobre el perfil de hogares endeudados y sobreendeudados: el caso de los hogares vascos
Alazne Mujika Alberdi, Iñaki García Arrizabalaga y Juan José Gibaja Martíns
- 459/2009 Imposing monotonicity on outputs in parametric distance function estimations: with an application to the spanish educational production
Sergio Perelman and Daniel Santin
- 460/2009 Key issues when using tax data for concentration analysis: an application to the Spanish wealth tax
José M^a Durán-Cabré and Alejandro Esteller-Moré
- 461/2009 ¿Se está rompiendo el mercado español? Una aplicación del enfoque de feldstein –horioka
Saúl De Vicente Queijeiro, José Luis Pérez Rivero y María Rosalía Vicente Cuervo
- 462/2009 Financial condition, cost efficiency and the quality of local public services
Manuel A. Muñiz & José L. Zafra
- 463/2009 Including non-cognitive outputs in a multidimensional evaluation of education production: an international comparison
Marián García Valiñas & Manuel Antonio Muñiz Pérez
- 464/2009 A political look into budget deficits. The role of minority governments and oppositions
Albert Falcó-Gimeno & Ignacio Jurado
- 465/2009 La simulación del cuadro de mando integral. Una herramienta de aprendizaje en la materia de contabilidad de gestión
Elena Urquía Grande, Clara Isabel Muñoz Colomina y Elisa Isabel Cano Montero
- 466/2009 Análisis histórico de la importancia de la industria de la desalinización en España
Borja Montañó Sanz
- 467/2009 The dynamics of trade and innovation: a joint approach
Silviano Esteve-Pérez & Diego Rodríguez
- 468/2009 Measuring international reference-cycles
Sonia de Lucas Santos, Inmaculada Álvarez Ayuso & M^a Jesús Delgado Rodríguez
- 469/2009 Measuring quality of life in Spanish municipalities
Eduardo González Fidalgo, Ana Cárcaba García, Juan Ventura Victoria & Jesús García García
- 470/2009 ¿Cómo se valoran las acciones españolas: en el mercado de capitales doméstico o en el europeo?
Begoña Font Belaire y Alfredo Juan Grau Grau
- 471/2009 Patterns of e-commerce adoption and intensity. evidence for the european union-27
María Rosalía Vicente & Ana Jesús López

- 472/2009 On measuring the effect of demand uncertainty on costs: an application to port terminals
Ana Rodríguez-Álvarez, Beatriz Tovar & Alan Wall
- 473/2009 Order of market entry, market and technological evolution and firm competitive performance
Jaime Gomez, Gianvito Lanzolla & Juan Pablo Maicas
- 474/2009 La Unión Económica y Monetaria Europea en el proceso exportador de Castilla y León (1993-
2007): un análisis de datos de panel
Almudena Martínez Campillo y M^a del Pilar Sierra Fernández
- 475/2009 Do process innovations boost SMEs productivity growth?
Juan A. Mañez, María E. Rochina Barrachina, Amparo Sanchis Llopis & Juan A. Sanchis Llopis