DETERMINING OPERATIONAL CAPITAL AT RISK: AN EMPIRICAL APPLICATION TO THE RETAIL BANKING

ENRIQUE JOSÉ JIMÉNEZ- RODRÍGUEZ JOSÉ MANUEL FERIA-DOMÍNGUEZ JOSÉ LUIS MARTÍN-MARÍN

FUNDACIÓN DE LAS CAJAS DE AHORROS DOCUMENTO DE TRABAJO Nº 441/2009 De conformidad con la base quinta de la convocatoria del Programa de Estímulo a la Investigación, este trabajo ha sido sometido a evaluación externa anónima de especialistas cualificados a fin de contrastar su nivel técnico.

ISSN: 1988-8767

La serie **DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO** incluye avances y resultados de investigaciones dentro de los programas de la Fundación de las Cajas de Ahorros. Las opiniones son responsabilidad de los autores.

DETERMINING OPERATIONAL CAPITAL AT RISK: AN EMPIRICAL APPLICATION TO THE RETAIL BANKING

ENRIQUE JOSÉ JIMÉNEZ- RODRÍGUEZ* JOSÉ MANUEL FERIA-DOMÍNGUEZ** JOSÉ LUIS MARTÍN-MARÍN***

Abstract

In this paper, we conduct an empirical application of the Advanced Measurement Approach (AMA), in particular the Loss Distribution Approach (LDA), to the Spanish retail banking sector for the estimation of Economic Capital for Operational Risk. Our results confirm that the implementation of such advanced approach in credit entities provides a lower consumption of regulatory capital, in comparison with the non-advanced methodologies, such us the Basic Indicator Approach (BIA) and the Standardised Approach (SA). At the same time, by focussing on the LDA model, we also assess the potential impact on the Capital at Risk (CaR) of the probability distribution parametric profile used when modelling the internal operational losses, recorded by the financial entity in its IOLD (Internal Operational Losses Database).

KEYWORDS: Operational Risk, Advanced Measurement Approach (AMA), Loss Distribution Approach (LDA), Capital at Risk (CaR), Internal Operational Losses Database (IOLD).

*Department of Business Administration - Pablo de Olavide University - Ctra. de Utrera, km. 1, 41013 (Seville, Spain), **phone:** +34954977925 **fax:** +34954348353 **e-mail:** ejimenez@upo.es

** Department of Business Administration - Pablo de Olavide University - Ctra. de Utrera, km. 1, 41013 (Seville, Spain) **phone:** +34954349363 **fax:** +34954348353 **e-mail:** jmferdom@upo.es

*** Department of Business Administration -Pablo de Olavide University - Ctra. de Utrera, km. 1, 41013 (Seville, Spain) -**phone:** +34954349056 **fax:** +34954348353 **e-mail:** jlmartin@upo.es

1. INTRODUCTION.

In June 2004, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision, henceforth the Committee, published the New Capital Accord, better known as Basel II. This regulatory framework is intended to enhance the security and solvency of the financial system, and is presented as a standard of capital adequacy that is more sensitive to the risk of banking operations. A key objective is to encourage financial entities to improve their own capacities for the management and control of risks. One of the principal novelties of Basel II is the inclusion of specific capital requirements to hedge operational risk; these are thus added to the requirements that the Basel I already establishes to cover both credit and market risk. In addition, the Committee (2004: 128) includes an explicit definition of operational risk since there had previously been no clear consensus on this concept. Specifically, operational risk is defined as follows: *"the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or from external events"*.

The Basel Committee (2001) proposes three approaches for calculating the capital requirements to meet this risk; ranked from lower to higher degree of sophistication and sensitivity to the risk, these are: the Basic Indicator Approach (BIA), the Standardised Approach (SA) and the Advanced Measurement. Approach (AMA). In turn, within the AMA, three alternative methodologies are described: the Internal Measurement Approach (IMA), Scorecards, and the Loss Distribution Approach (LDA). This last, combined with the OpVaR (Operational Value at Risk) concept, appears to be the best-positioned methodology, in so far as it is more sensitive to this risk. The less advanced methodologies (Basic and Standardised approaches), are more conservative in estimating the regulatory capital required for operational risk, although they are easier to implement in practical terms. In these cases, ultimately, the capital required is calculated as a percentage of the Gross Income of the financial entity.

Starting from this premise, the main goal of this paper is to test, by means of an empirical application, whether the implementation of an advanced approach for the measurement of operational risk in credit entities provides a lower consumption of regulatory capital, in comparison with the less advanced methodologies. At the same time, considering in particular the LDA model, we assess the potential impact on the Capital at Risk (CaR) of the parametric profile of the probability distribution used when modelling the operational losses.

We begin the study by establishing the theoretical framework in which those methodologies of measurement proposed by the Committee have been conceived. Having defined this framework, the next step is to test of our main hypothesis. For this purpose, we have taken the historical information on operational losses provided by a Spanish credit entity, specialised in the retail banking sector. We thus devote the third part of this paper to the detailed analysis of the inputs employed, i.e. the data of

operational losses. Once the data are ready to be handled, and following the methodological sequence of the LDA approach, we have modelled the distributions of both frequency and severity, from which the distribution of aggregated losses is obtained. In this study, operational risk, within the retail banking sector, has been classified by event types. Thus we complete the analysis by computing the capital required for each of the 8 operational risk event types proposed by the Committee. Lastly, for the whole entity, we conduct a comparative analysis of the capital consumption depending on the measurement methodology used. The results of the study demonstrate a clear divergence between the capital estimated by applying the less advanced approaches and that provided by the LDA model, which resulted in a notable potential capital saving. In any case, it will be the responsibility of the national supervisor to validate the suitability of the internal measurement model proposed by the bank and, consequently, the resulting amount of regulatory capital required.

2. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK.

The measurement of operational risk, in terms of regulatory capital, has become the most complex and, in turn, the most important aspect when addressing such a financial risk. The Committee (2001: 3) defines the Basic (BIA) and Standardised (SA) approaches as top-down methodologies. Both approaches determine the capital requirements for the global entity (in the BIA) or for each business line (in the SA). After this preliminary calculation, in a top down process, the assignment of capital is broken down by type of risk and by business unit or process, in particular. In contrast, the AMA approaches are based on so-called bottom-up methodologies; in these the capital required is calculated from internal loss data broken down by event type and by business line; after this specific calculation and following a process of working from the particular to the general, the capital requirement is computed, by aggregation, for the bank as a whole. To be allowed to apply the Standardised Method and the AMA methodologies, the banks must meet certain admission criteria (Basel, 2006: 148-155). However, it is intended that the Basic Indicator Approach should be applicable to any bank, independently of the complexity of its activities, provided that it follows the directives of the document "Sound Practices for the Management and Supervision of Operational Risk" (Basel, 2002); this Approach and the conditions included in the document thus constitute a departure point in the capital estimation process.

The Committee recommends that entities should adopt progressively more advanced approaches, from the range of methods available, as they develop more sophisticated systems and practices of measurement over time. Nevertheless, it should be stated that the development and implementation of more advanced techniques will, to a large extent, depend on the availability of internal data on operational losses. In this respect, the model that enjoys the widest acceptance in the banking industry is the Loss Distribution Approach (LDA), based on the concept of Operational Value at Risk (OpVaR) for the calculation of the capital charge.

2.1 THE BASIC INDICATOR APPROACH (BIA).

Strictly speaking, the banks that apply the BIA approach must cover their operational risk with an amount of equity equivalent to a fixed percentage (denoted as alpha) of the average of their Gross Income (GI)¹, annually for the last three financial years, as defined by the Committee (2004: 129). In the event of the GI for any year being zero or negative, that will not be taken into account when computing the average. The average would thus be determined as the sum of the positive annual figures divided by the number of positive annual figures (Basel, 2006: 159-160), calculated as follows:

$$\mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{BIA}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\mathsf{GI}_{1...n} \mathbf{x} \,\alpha)}{n} \tag{1}$$

Where

K_{BIA}: Capital requirement by the Basic Indicator Approach.

GI: Annual gross income.

 α : The alpha coefficient, fixed in 15% by the Committee.

n: Number of years in which the GI has been positive, in the last three financial years.

2.2 THE STANDARDISED METHOD (SA).

In the Standardised method (or Standardised Approach, SA) the activity of the bank is broken down into eight different business lines, defined by the Committee (2004: Annex 6). As in the BIA approach, the Gross Income of each line is taken as an indicator to reflect the operational risk exposure faced by the bank in this segment. For each business unit, the capital charge will be determined by the product of the Gross Income generated by a factor, termed beta, assigned to each of the lines. The total amount of capital required, at the level of the entity, will be obtained as the average of the last three years by summing up individual capital charges for each of the units described. Any negative capital requirements, resulting from negative gross income in any of the bank's business lines, can be used to off-set the positive requirements from other units, without any limit, as permitted by the Committee. However, it affirms that, when the aggregate capital requirement calculated in any particular year is negative², the numerator for that year will be zero. The analytical expression that summarises the indicator is the following:

$$K_{SA} = \{ \sum_{y \in ars(1-3)} \max[\sum (GI_{(1-8)} \times \beta_{(1-8)}) , 0] \} / 3$$
(2)

¹ It is intended that this measure, GI, should be net of interest, representing the spread between cost of funds and loan interest rates charged. Equally it should be gross of any provisions made; it should also exclude profits or losses made from the sale of securities from its investment portfolio; and should ignore extraordinary or exceptional items, and any income derived from insurance activities.

Where

K_{SA}: Capital requirements by the Standardised Method.

GI_i: Annual Gross Income by business line.

 β_i : Fixed percentage established by the Committee, which relates the amount of capital required to the gross income of each of the eight business lines (see table 1).

BUSIN	BETA	
Invostment Benking	Corporate Finance	$\beta_1 = 18\%$
Investment Banking	Trading and Sales	β2=18%
	Retail Banking	β3=12%
Commercial	Commercial Banking	β ₄ =15%
Banking	Payment and Settlement	β5=18%
	Agency Services	β ₆ =15%
Other financial services	Asset Management	β ₇ =12%
	Retail Brokerage	β ₈ =12%

Table 1: Beta values for each business line.

Source: Basel Committee on Banking Supervision.

2.3. THE LOSS DISTRIBUTION APPROACH (LDA).

The Loss Distribution Approach is a statistical technique, inherited from the actuarial field (see Bühlmann, 1970), the objective of which is to obtain a probability distribution of aggregate losses. The model is based on the information of historical losses, recorded in the form of a matrix comprised by the eight business lines and the seven types of operational risk standardised by the Committee. When an operational loss is identified, it is essential to define two variables: first, the severity, or monetary amount of the loss; and, second, the frequency with which the event is repeated during a specified period of time, generally one year, or, put another way, the probability of that event occurs.

According to Böcker and Klüppelberg (2005), the severity is defined, from a statistical perspective, as: "a continuous random variable, $(X_k)k \in \mathbb{N}$, that takes positive values³, which are independent of each other, and identically distributed". On the basis of this meaning, Panjer (2006: Chapter 4) establishes a compendium of the functions that, potentially, could be used for modelling it. However, in practice,

 $^{^{2}}$ If the negative gross income of any year distorts the capital requirements, the supervisors will consider taking the appropriate supervisory actions under Pillar II.

³ As an accounting expense, the operational losses represent negative items in the profit and loss account of the entity; however, in the modelling they are taken as positive values.

the peculiar form of the distribution of operational losses⁴ means that the number of functions with significant fits is restricted. On this point, it must be stated that the principal difficulty when modelling the operational risk is the extreme behaviour of the severity distribution tails, which leads to Pareto probabilistic models, when high thresholds of losses are applied (Fontnouvelle *et al.*, 2004).

Concerning the frequency, and following Frachot *et al.* (2003), the *Poisson* distribution is used successfully in the actuarial techniques, and is a suitable candidate since it is characterised by a single parameter (λ), which represents the number of events per year. However, authors like Da Costa (2004) suggest another useful rule to follow: choosing the Binomial model when the variance is less than the arithmetic mean (sub-dispersion), the Poisson model when both values are similar (equi-dispersion) and the Negative Binomial model when the variance is greater than the mean (over-dispersion). Once the distributions of severity and frequency have been characterised, we can proceed to obtain the aggregate loss distribution, *S*(*i*,*j*), using an actuarial technique termed convolution⁵. Thus, the total loss associated with a business line *i* and originated by an event type *j*, will be given by:

$$S(i, j) = \sum_{n=0}^{N(i, j)} L_n(i, j)$$
(3)

This amount is therefore what is computed from a random number of loss events, N(i,j), with also random values, under the assumption that the $L_n(i,j)$ are identically distributed, are independent of each other and, at the same time, independent of the frequency (Frachot *et al.*, 2004). To determine the regulatory capital from the aggregate loss distribution, it is sufficient to apply the concept of Operational Value at Risk (OpVaR), that is, the 99.9 percentile of such distribution, as proposed by the Committee (2006: 151). In a broad sense, according to the Committee (2006a: 151), the regulatory capital (CaR) should cover both the expected (EL) and the unexpected loss (UL). In that case, the CaR and the OpVaR are identical. However, in a strict sense, if the entity is able to demonstrate sufficiently that the expected operational loss (EL) has been provisioned, the regulatory capital (CaR) should be identified as the unexpected loss (UL).

3. DATA OF OPERATIONAL LOSSES.

In practice, to ensure the correct implementation and validation of the LDA methodology, previously described, the Committee (2006) proposes that four elements must be combined: (i) the internal data of losses; (ii) the external data of relevant losses; (iii) the analysis of scenarios; and (iv) the information of the business environment and the internal controls implemented. Of these four, the

⁴ Distributions identified by a central body that groups together events of high frequency and low or medium severity, and a heavy tail characterised by events that are infrequent but entail very severe losses, which give the distribution a leptokurtic character.

⁵ Klugman *et al* (2004) develop analytically a series of algorithms for carrying out the aggregation of the two distributions.

essential component of the model is the internal operational loss database (IOLD); the rest of the factors are considered complements. Thus, if a sufficiently broad and representative IOLD is not available, the advanced approach will be less robust and this, ultimately, would lead to it being invalid. With the object of studying this critical aspect in greater depth, we have focussed our study on testing and analysing the implementation of the Loss Distribution Approach (LDA) by using exclusively the internal loss data provided by a Spanish credit entity, which operates essentially in the retail banking sector. It is necessary first to know the profile of this entity in order to understand better the data and results obtained in the study. Therefore, we have selected a series of descriptive variables covering the three sampling periods (see table 2):

CON	2004	2005	2006	
CORPORATE	Assets (in thousands of euros)	10,324,407	12,667,914	14,570,011
INFORMATION	Equity (thousands of euros)	421,371	599,867	688,452
	N° of employees	2,591	2,544	2,577
KEY VOLUME	Operating Offices	395	399	404
(KVI)	Automatic cash dispensers	539	541	564
	Cards issued	735,600	597,232	629,110

Table 2: Credit entity's relevant information

The IOLD includes operational events that occurred in each of following seven years, from 2000 to 2006. In table 3, the number of events recorded per year is given:

YEAR	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
N° EVENTS	2	5	2	50	6,397	4,959	6,580

Table 3: Number of operational risk events per year.

From the preceding table, it can be inferred that the frequency of events from the year 2000 to 2003 is not significant. Consequently, so as not to distort the distribution of frequency, for the purposes of modelling we shall only consider 2004, 2005 and 2006 as representative years. On this point, the Committee (2006: 168) indicates that the history of operational losses must cover a minimum period of five years. However, the banks that use an AMA methodology for the first time are allowed to use data referring to a period of observation of at least three years, as in the case that concerns us. It should also be noted that inflation, affecting the data for the various periods of years over which it is compiled, can distort the results of the research. To take this effect into account, we have used the CPI

(Consumer Prices Index) to adjust the amount of the losses, taking the year 2006 as the base. Thus we have converted the nominal losses in equivalent monetary units. Further, before implementing any statistical approach, it is essential to perform an EDA (Exploratory Data Analysis) of the data⁶, in order to analyze the nature of the sample used. In total, it includes 17,936 observations of operational risk events, all classified into seven event types within the retail banking business line. The total sum of the losses for this business unit exceeds 4.5 million Euros, and the distribution of these losses between the different categories of risk is very heterogeneous. The descriptive statistics are given in Table 4 for both the total sample, in the column headed Operational Risk, and for each of the particular event types⁷. The results obtained are presented in table 4.

Statistics	Operational risk	Internal Fraud	External Fraud	Employment Practices and Workplace Safety
Ν	17,936	1	1,462	37
Range	375,252.72	0	84,997.99	375,224.75
Minimum (€)	0.03	18.909.00	2.01	28.00
Maximum (€)	375,252.75	18,909.00	85,000.00	375,252.75
Mean (€)	254.48	18,909.00	565.48	15,240.42
Median (€)	51.35	18,909.00	58.35	1,156.74
Mode (€)	50.00	18,909.00	51.35	28.00
Standard Deviation (€)	3,602.71	-	4,407.71	62,118.27
Coefficient of Variation	14.16	-	7.79	4.08
Skewness	73.48	-	14.80	5.72
Kurtosis	6,924.22	-	242.66	33.77
Statistics	Clients, Products and Business Practices	Damage to Physical Assets	Business Disruption and System Failures	Execution, Delivery and Process Management
Statistics N	Clients, Products and Business Practices 16	Damage to Physical Assets 1,790	Business Disruption and System Failures 726	Execution, Delivery and Process Management 13,904
Statistics N Range	Clients, Products and Business Practices 16 46,405.24	Damage to Physical Assets 1,790 109,170.47	Business Disruption and System Failures 726 15,739,007	Execution, Delivery and Process Management 13,904 170,073.43
Statistics N Range Minimum (€)	Clients, Products and Business Practices 16 46,405.24 28.75	Damage to Physical Assets 1,790 109,170.47 1.80	Business Disruption and System Failures 726 15,739,007 1.69	Execution, Delivery and Process Management 13,904 170,073.43 0.03
Statistics N Range Minimum (€) Maximum (€)	Clients, Products and Business Practices 16 46,405.24 28.75 46,434.00	Damage to Physical Assets 1,790 109,170.47 1.80 109,172.27	Business Disruption and System Failures 726 15,739,007 1.69 15,740,700	Execution, Delivery and Process Management 13,904 170,073.43 0.03 170,073.46
Statistics N Range Minimum (€) Maximum (€) Mean (€)	Clients, Products and Business Practices 16 46,405.24 28.75 46,434.00 6,831.13	Damage to Physical Assets 1,790 109,170.47 1.80 109,172.27 503.45	Business Disruption and System Failures 726 15,739,007 1.69 15,740,700 122.24	Execution, Delivery and Process Management 13,904 170,073.43 0.03 170,073.46 147.84
Statistics N Range Minimum (€) Maximum (€) Mean (€) Median (€)	Clients, Products and Business Practices 16 46,405.24 28.75 46,434.00 6,831.13 890.64	Damage to Physical Assets 1,790 109,170.47 1.80 109,172.27 503.45 141.66	Business Disruption and System Failures 726 15,739,007 1.69 15,740,700 122.24 31.95	Execution, Delivery and Process Management 13,904 170,073.43 0.03 170,073.46 147.84 50.00
Statistics N Range Minimum (€) Maximum (€) Mean (€) Median (€) Mode (€)	Clients, Products and Business Practices 16 46,405.24 28.75 46,434.00 6,831.13 890.64 28.75	Damage to Physical Assets 1,790 109,170.47 1.80 109,172.27 503.45 141.66 26.57	Business Disruption and System Failures 726 15,739,007 1.69 15,740,700 122.24 31.95 10,270	Execution, Delivery and Process Management 13,904 170,073.43 0.03 170,073.46 147.84 50.00 50.00
Statistics N Range Minimum (€) Maximum (€) Mean (€) Median (€) Mode (€) Standard Deviation (€)	Clients, Products and Business Practices 16 46,405.24 28.75 46,434.00 6,831.13 890.64 28.75 12,640.80	Damage to Physical Assets 1,790 109,170.47 1.80 109,172.27 503.45 141.66 26.57 3,086.83	Business Disruption and System Failures 726 15,739,007 1.69 15,740,700 122.24 31.95 10,270 738.67	Execution, Delivery and Process <u>Management</u> 13,904 170,073.43 0.03 170,073.46 147.84 50.00 50.00 1,606.45
Statistics N Range Minimum (€) Maximum (€) Mean (€) Median (€) Mode (€) Standard Deviation (€) Coefficient of Variation	Clients, Products and Business Practices 16 46,405.24 28.75 46,434.00 6,831.13 890.64 28.75 12,640.80 1.85	Damage to Physical Assets 1,790 109,170.47 1.80 109,172.27 503.45 141.66 26.57 3,086.83 6.13	Business Disruption and System Failures 726 15,739,007 1.69 15,740,700 122.24 31.95 10,270 738.67 6.04	Execution, Delivery and Process Management 13,904 170,073.43 0.03 170,073.46 147.84 50.00 50.00 1,606.45 10.87
Statistics N Range Minimum (€) Maximum (€) Mean (€) Median (€) Mode (€) Standard Deviation (€) Coefficient of Variation Skewness	Clients, Products and Business Practices 16 46,405.24 28.75 46,434.00 6,831.13 890.64 28.75 12,640.80 1.85 2.50	Damage to Physical Assets 1,790 109,170.47 1.80 109,172.27 503.45 141.66 26.57 3,086.83 6.13 27.85	Business Disruption and System Failures 726 15,739,007 1.69 15,740,700 122.24 31.95 10,270 738.67 6.04 17.18	Execution, Delivery and Process Management 13,904 170,073.43 0.03 170,073.46 147.84 50.00 50.00 1,606.45 10.87 88.26

 Table 4: Descriptive Statistics.

⁶ See Tukey (1977) and Hoaglin *et al.* (1983 and 1985).

⁷ In the Internal Fraud category there is only one observation; this prevents any individualised study of this type of risk and, consequently, the application of the LDA model in this cell.

From observing table 4, it should be noted that the mean is, in all the cases, much higher than the median. This fact constitutes a clear sign of the positive asymmetry of the distributions. At the same time, we should warn that the mode takes very relatively small values. Taken together, these two factors denote the grouping of distribution body in a range of low severity values.

In addition, if we assume the standard deviation as a proxy for the dispersion of the data, when a comparison is made of the diverse risks, we could draw incorrect conclusions. This assertion is based on the evident disparity in the mean values of each type of operational risk. To resolve it, we have determined the Pearson coefficient of variation; this is a relative measure of the dispersion that allows us to test it more rigorously. On this basis, we find that the greatest dispersion (10.87) occurs with the cell of Execution, Delivery and Process Management (henceforth Processes); this type of risk accounts for the largest number of events of the sample. In contrast, Employment Practices and Workplace Safety (henceforth Human Resources), which has the largest index of standard deviation (62,118.27 Euros), presents a coefficient of variation of 4.08.

At the same time, the observed values for the shape parameters describe distributions with positive asymmetry and leptokurtosis; however, each type of risk presents a different degree of intensity⁸ in both measures. More specifically on this aspect, the Processes type of risk has the highest degree of skewness (88.26) and kurtosis (9,077.73), unlike the cell of Clients, Products and Business Practices (henceforth Clients) whose skewness and kurtosis, respectively, are notably lower (2.50 and 6.39). On this point, it should be noted that in the Clients category we have observed some features clearly differentiated from the rest: a low degree of asymmetry and kurtosis, and a very moderate dispersion (1.85). This singular character is due to the very small number of observations recorded, in comparison with other sub-sets of the sample.

In a general sense, but with the reservations indicated, the distributions analyzed confirm an initial assumption: they are characterised by a grouping, in the central body, of low severity values, and a wide tail marked by the occurrence of infrequent but extremely onerous losses. This characteristic can be observed very clearly by considering the percentiles of the different risks, illustrated in table 5.

⁸ The operational risk as a whole is strongly influenced by the Processes subset. It represents about 77.52% of the total events in the sample.

RISK	PERCENTILE							
	5	25	50	75	90	95	99	99.9
External Fraud	20.00	42.60	58.35	155.22	410.46	904.25	7,147.61	85,000.00
Employment Practices and Workplace Safety.	72.13	446.18	1,156.74	2,986.04	28,132.74	90,044.77	375,252.75	375,252.75
Clients, Products and Business Practices	28.75	152.76	890.64	6.067.37	31,184.26	46,434.00	46,434.00	46,434.00
Damage to Physical Assets	26.57	69.90	141.66	313.35	738.87	1,500.55	7,428.79	66,521.50
Business Disruption and System Failures	10.00	10.65	31.95	60.00	176.21	308.10	1,386.15	15,740.70
Execution, Delivery and Process Management	5.13	18.63	50.00	102.70	213.00	452.00	1,426.01	9,431.14

Table 5: Percentiles of operational risk distribution.

Over the total of the sample, we can conclude that 99% of the operational loss events recorded in the IOLD kept by the bank are of less than 2,548 Euros in value. On this point, the Committee (2006: 168) proposes that banks should compile data on and model only those events that individually exceed a threshold of 10,000 Euros of loss. Currently, given the relatively few events recorded in the IOLD, applying a high threshold could make the advanced measurement model less rigorous, as happens in our study, thus making it impossible to apply the model in some of the cells of the matrix. Our IOLD employs a threshold of 0 Euros for capturing loss events (see figure 1).



Figure 1: Amount of the operational losses recorded in the IOLD.

If a threshold of 10,000 Euros is applied, the frequency of events would be only 22, 7 and 14 for the years 2004, 2005 and 2006, respectively. Consequently, for our modelling, we decided to take a threshold of 0 Euros, equal to that adopted for recording them in the database.

4. THE MODEL SELECTION.

4.1 FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION.

The frequency is determined from the number of events that have occurred in a particular period of time, commonly known as the "risk horizon" (Alexander, 2003: 143). For regulatory purposes, this period is set at one calendar year, for operational risk (Basel, 2006: 166). Consequently, if the regulatory capital has to cover the possible losses that the entity may suffer from within one year period, the annual frequency must be modelled in the LDA approach. Given the scarcity of significant periods of samples of operational losses, this requirement presents even more difficulties for implementing this methodology since, as Dutta and Perry (2006: 23) emphasise, with two or three years of losses, it is not possible to perform a consistent analysis of the goodness-of-fit of a theoretical to an empirical frequency distribution.

In such scenario –for our study we have a sample of three years of losses– Fontnouvelle *et al.* (2004) suggest the *Poisson* function as the most appropriate distribution. The *Poisson* formulation is characterised by a single parameter, λ , which represents the mean number of annual events and, at the same time, the variance of the distribution. Thus, if the frequency of the losses is a discrete random variable, *N*, which follows a *Poisson distribution* (*Po*), then:

$$N \sim Po(\lambda) \rightarrow P(N = x) = \frac{\lambda^{x} e^{-\lambda}}{x!}$$
 Where, $\lambda > 0$ (4)

Table 6 summarizes the results obtained in the estimation of the parameters for each type of operational risk:

Operational risk	Poisson	
	λ	
External Fraud	487.33	
Employment Practices and Workplace Safety	12.33	
Clients, Products and Business Practices	5.33	
Damage to Physical Assets	596.67	
Business Disruption and System Failures	242	
Execution, Delivery and Process Management	4,634.67	

Table 6: Estimated parameters for the frequency distribution

4.2 SEVERITY DISTRIBUTION.

The Basel Committee (2001) proposed as a benchmark the *Lognormal* distribution for modelling the severity, and the *Poisson* distribution for the frequency. Thus, in the initial phases of development of

the LDA model, a debate took place in the banking industry (which was not reflected in the scientific literature with the same intensity) on whether a Standard LDA Model, "Lognormal and Poisson", should be stipulated in the regulations, with a view to allowing a more homogeneous comparison to be made between the amounts of regulatory capital held by the various banks. With regard to the Lognormal function, we can say that a random variable, X, follows this distribution, if the logarithm of X is normally distributed, that is, if it fits a Normal distribution; its function of density therefore is defined by the following expression:

$$X \sim LN(\mu, \sigma) \to f(x) = \frac{1}{x\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{\frac{-(\ln x - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$
(5)

for x>0, and where μ and σ represent the mean and the standard deviation of the logarithm of the random variable, respectively. However, although the *Lognormal* distribution is a widely spread probabilistic model, the results derived from empirical studies, such as that conducted by Dionne and Dahen (2007), conclude that the application of the model in leptokurtic scenarios, when this is not the function that obtains the best statistical fit, could lead to the under-valuation of the tail of the aggregate loss distribution and, therefore, of the risk. In consequence, we must undertake a robust study of its suitability, and test it against other possible alternatives to ensure that the Standard LDA Model is the most sensitive, of the models analysed, to the risk assumed. Thus, with the object of evaluating which probabilistic function best fits the severity, we have followed the approach of Dutta and Perry (2006: 22), who establish five key questions: (i) *Goodness of Fit*; (ii) *Realistic outcome*; (iii) *Well-Specified*; (iv) *Flexibility*; and (v) *Simple*.

Regarding the other distributions to be tested, Moscadelli (2004) believes the distribution should be studied in terms of its kurtosis: the *Weibull* function is proposed for distributions with smooth tail; the Lognormal or the Gumbel function for distributions with moderate or average tail; and, in those with heavy tail, the Pareto function is recommended. On the other hand, Fontnouvelle et al. (2004) widen the possible alternatives, distinguishing two types of distribution: those with smooth tail, for which functions such as the Weibull, Lognormal, Gamma and Exponential are recommended; and the distributions with heavy tail, for which the Pareto, Generalised Pareto, Burr, Log-Logistic and Loggamma are suggested. In line with the studies cited above, we also have used a range of functions with characteristics that, a priori, are different in respect of the form taken by the tail of their distribution. Thus, the suitable functions are the following: Exponential, Gumbel, Gamma, Lognormal, Weibull and Pareto. Having now proposed the candidate functions, the next step is to measure the consistency of the fit. For this purpose, we apply two of the various statistical tests commonly used to determine the probabilistic model followed by the recorded operational losses (see Chernobai et al., 2005). In particular, we conduct the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) and Anderson-Darling (A-D) tests for calibrating the fit robustness for operational risk event types as illustrate from table 7 to table 12, respectively.

Distribution	Distribution		Kolmogorov-Smirnov	Anderson-Darling
Distribution	1	urumeters	D (p-value)	A_n^2 (p-value)
Exponential	λ	1,377.02	0.522 (<0.001)	965.90 (<0.001)
Weihull	α	0.853	0.191	104.84
ii cibuii	β	163.28	(<0.001)	(<0.001)
Lognormal	μ	4.426	0.126	29.17
Lognormai	σ	1,338	(<0.001)	(<0.001)
Camma	α	0.017	0.870	2,089.40
Gamma	θ	34,356	(<0.001)	(<0,001)
Crumbal	β	3,436,7	0.516	488.64
Gumbel	α	1,418,2	(<0.001)	(<0,001)
Danata	α	0.269	0.417	311.76
rareio	θ	2.013	(<0.001)	(<0.001)

 Table 7: External Fraud.

Table 8	Human	Resources.
---------	-------	------------

Distribution	Parameters		Kolmogorov-Smirnov	Anderson-Darling	
Distribution			D (p-value)	A_n^2 (p-value)	
Exponential	λ	29,569.70	0.601 (<0.001)	34.71 (<0.001)	
Weibull	α	0.642	0.196	2.23	
	β	2,593.10	(0.102)	(>0.05)	
Lognormal	μ	7.1747	0.171	0.685	
	σ	1.908	(0.205)	(>0.10)	
Gamma	α	0.060	0.607	17.99	
	θ	2.53E+5	(<0.001)	(<0.001)	
Gumbel	β	48,433	0.464	10.18	
	α	12,716	(<0.001)	(<0.001)	
Pareto	α	0.260	0.303	6.59	
	θ	28	(0.002)	(<0.001)	

Table 9: Clients.

	Parameters		Kolmogorov-Smirnov	Anderson-Darling	
Distribution			D (p-value)	A_n^2 (p-value)	
Exponential	λ	10,007.40	0.414 (0.005)	6.99 (<0.001)	
Weibull	α	0.475	0.116	0.33	
	β	2,391.5	(0.967)	(>0.10)	
Lognormal	μ	6,952	0.099	0.22	
	σ	2,259	(0.992)	(>0.10)	
Gamma	α	0.292	0.157	0.39	
	θ	23.391	(0.769)	(>0.10)	
Gumbel	β	9,856	0.326	2.02	
	α	1,142.10	(0.051)	(>0.05)	
Pareto	α	0.278	0.234	2.72	
	θ	28,756	(0.298)	(>0.01)	

Distribution Par		aramotors	Kolmogorov-Smirnov	Anderson-Darling
Distribution	1	D (p-value)		A_n^2 (p-value)
Exponential	λ	973.84	0.304 (<0.001)	351.26 (<0.001)
Weibull	α	0.974	0.120	76.88
	β	285.69	(<0.001)	(<0.001)
Lognormal	μ	5,077	0.051	11.21
	σ	1,222	(<0.001)	(<0.001)
Gamma	α	0.027	0.831	2.174.30
	θ	18,926	(<0.001)	(<0.001)
Gumbel	β	2,406.80	0.501	1.790.00
	α	885.78	(<0.001)	(<0.001)
Pareto	α	0.223	0.421	457.91
	θ	1.80	(<0.001)	(<0.001)

 Table 10: Damage to Physical Assets.

Table	11.	Crystana
I able	11:	Systems.

	Parameters		Kolmogorov-Smirnov	Anderson-Darling
Distribution			D (p-value)	A_n^2 (p-value)
Exponential	λ	244.83	0.388 (<0.001)	177.5 (<0.001)
Weibull	α	0.968	0.186	42.19
	β	66.27	(<0.001)	(<0.001)
Loguonual	μ	3,609	0.125	15.96
Lognormai	σ	1,158	(<0.001)	(<0.001)
Gamma	α	0.027	0.855	867.15
Gamma	θ	4,463.4	(<0.001)	(<0.001)
Gumbel	β	575.94	0.510	220.16
Gumvel	α	210.19	(<0.001)	(<0.001)
Pareto	α	0.324	0.434	144.98
	θ	1,693	(<0.001)	(<0.001)

Table 12: Processes.

	Parameters		Kolmogorov-Smirnov	Anderson-Darling
Distribution			D (p-value)	A_n^2 (p-value)
Exponential	λ	306.15	0.293 (<0.001)	2.533.2 (<0.001)
Weibull	α	0.891	0.100	312.1
	β	82.22	(<0.001)	(<0.001)
Lognormal	μ	3,763	0.065	48.86
	σ	1,396	(<0.001)	(<0.001)
Gamma	α	0.009	0.918	28.222
Gamma	θ	17,456	(<0.001)	(<0.001)
Cumbal	β	1,252.5	0.532	4.669
Gumvel	α	575.15	(<0.001)	(<0.001)
Damoto	α	0.138	0.461	4.258.6
rareio	θ	0.03	(<0.001)	(<0.001)

From the results presented in the tables above, and in a broad sense, the limited degree of significance reached in the tests is emphasised. Both the inherent nature of the operational losses and the lack of depth of the data samples, make difficult to find statistical fits with a reasonable degree of significance in practice. According to the regulatory framework, the supervisor must validate the minimum degree of significance established by the entity for selecting the distribution of severity. In our study, in those cases where the fit is poor, we have based our decision on the value of the statistic itself. However, to support the choice of the distribution and, therefore, to avoid the model risk, we considered to seek further support from graphic tools such as P-P Plots. The only types of operational risk that obtain fits better than 1% of significance are Human Resources and Clients. For the rest of the cells, the bias observed between the test statistics and the respective critical values is wide, resulting in some very low p-values. It should be stated that the largest differences arise in the A-D test, due to the greater weight that this test assigns to the deviation in the tail between the estimated and the empirical distribution. This finding coincides with the warning given in the study by Moscadelli (2004).

When establishing a hierarchy of the distributions based on the statistical adjustment, the *Lognormal* and the *Weibull*, in that order, are the distributions that present greater significance in all the cases. Regarding the *Pareto* distribution, which is suitable for modelling heavy tails, it fits properly for shape parameter values lower than one. This results in infinite moments that could give rise to unrealistic financial measurements in terms of capital. However, this distribution obtains the third best fit in the cells of External Fraud, Human Resources and Systems, although, in this last cell, the *Exponential* function obtains a better result with the K-S test. It should be mentioned that this function is a particular case of the *Weibull* when the shape parameter, α , of this latter distribution is equal to one. Lastly, we have confirmed that the *Gamma* function, which only obtains a good fit for the Clients event type, and the *Gumbel* function are those that are furthest, on average, from the pattern of the various empirical distributions. To reinforce the conclusions obtained from both K-S and A-D statistical tests, we have drawn, for each cell, a P-P Plot that shows, comparatively, the three theoretical distributions with better fit (see figure 2).

Figure 2: P-P Plot for the event type.



The figure 2 points out the results of the tests, showing that the *Lognormal* distribution is the theoretical function closest to the plot of the empirical distribution, for all the cells analysed. Consequently, this distribution has been selected for modelling the severity of operational losses in the LDA structure.

5. REGULATORY CAPITAL FOR OPERATIONAL RISK.

5.1 THE STANDARD LDA MODEL.

Having characterised the distributions of frequency and severity, the next step is to determine the aggregate loss distribution and we have chosen the Monte-Carlo Simulation technique to perform the convolution of both frequency and severity distribution. In particular, for each of the convolutions performed, one million simulations have been generated, and relative errors considerably below 1% have been obtained. When the LDA distribution has been determined, the OpVaR (that is, the 99.9 percentile) must be calculated to infer the regulatory capital. This study has been carried out under the assumption that the credit entity has not made provision for its expected loss (EL). Consequently, the capital requirements have to cover both this loss and the unexpected loss (UL); thus we can identify the figure of the OpVaR with the amount of the Capital at Risk (CaR). The results obtained, after aggregating the two distributions, are shown in table 13:

	Lognormal		Poisson	Expected	Unexpected	
Event Type	μ	σ	λ	Loss (euros)	Loss (euros)	CaR _{99.9} (euros)
External Fraud	4.426	1.338	487.33	99,712	48,850	148,562
Employment Practices and Workplace Safety.	7.174	1.908	12.33	99,405	1,773,522	1,872,927
Clients, Products and Business Practices	6.952	2.259	5.33	71,459	3,223,149	3,294,608
Damage to Physical Assets	5.077	1.222	596.67	201,766	66,553	268,319
Business Disruption and System Failures	3.609	1.158	242	17,473	8,748	26,221
Execution, Delivery and Process Management	3.763	1.396	4,634.67	528,987	75,128	604,115
Global Computation for the Entity				1,018,804	5,195,951	6,214,756

 Table 13: CaR Estimation by the Standard LDA Model.

With reference to the CaR figures detailed in table 13, the amount included in the Human Resources cell and, essentially, that in the Clients cell, should be noted ⁹. In this latter cell, the regulatory capital determined is more than three million Euros; in the Human Resources cell, the capital requirement is close to two million Euros. Paradoxically, although these two cells have the fewest observations, they account for the largest amounts of capital.

⁹ In the Clients cell, there are only 16 observations recorded. Such a low number of events can distort the financial reality of the results derived; hence certain doubts would be raised regarding its regulatory validation.

The explanation for such differences in terms of capital charge must be sought in the characterisation of the respective probabilistic functions of severity. Thus, in both the Human Resources and Clients cells, where there are risks of low frequency and high severity, the theoretical levels of asymmetry and kurtosis are very high; these values are conditioned by the shape parameter (σ) of the *Lognormal* distribution. Equally, if we observe their scale parameter (μ), these are high (7,174 and 6,952, respectively) for the event types mentioned and, therefore, the mean and the variance of the severity of their losses are also high in relation to the other types of risk that obtained lower parameters in the probabilistic fit. On the other hand, in the Processes cell, with a mean annual frequency of more than four thousand events, but with shape and scale parameters of their severity function much lower than those indicated in the two previous distributions, the amount of Capital at Risk obtained is only about 600,000 Euros. All this justifies a greater weighting of the distribution of severity, with respect to that of frequency, in the final estimation of the CaR (see Böcker and Klüppelberg, 2005; and De Koker, 2006).

5.1.1 The Expected Loss and the Unexpected Loss

The total amount of regulatory capital assigned to a cell can be broken down into two amounts: the expected loss (EL) and the unexpected loss (UL). Based on this, we introduce two ratios "EL/OpVaR" and "UL/OpVaR", both representing a measurement of the relative weight of each variable in the total Capital in Risk. Table 14 gives the two ratios calculated for each type of risk.

FVFNT TVPFS	RATIOS		
	EL/CaR99.9	UL/CaR99.9	
External Fraud	67.12%	32.88%	
Employment Practices and Workplace Safety.	5.31%	94.69%	
Clients, Products and Business Practices	2.17%	97.83%	
Damage to Physical Assets	75.20%	24.80%	
Business Disruption and System Failures	66.64%	33.36%	
Execution, Delivery and Process Management	87.56%	12.44%	
Global Computation for the Entity	16.39%	83.61%	

Table 14: EL/CaR and UL/CaR ratios

The ratios calculated denote two very different situations: (i) for those risks with low or medium severity and high or medium frequency, EL is much higher than UL; (ii) in contrast, those risks with high severity and low frequency, that is, with a greater dispersion of their operational losses, the UL

accounts for almost the total CaR. Given the weight of the Human Resources and Clients, EL only accounts for 16.39% of the total CaR. In consequence, if the entity were able to identify, a priori, the expected loss and make it adequate provisioned, its regulatory capital should rise to 83.61% of the total CaR.

5.1.2 Financial Impact of the Percentile proposed by the Committee.

In regulatory terms, the percentile of the distribution of aggregate losses that determines the Capital at Risk is well-established at 99.9%. The fact that the Committee has recommended such a high figure has aroused criticism and a certain apprehension in the banking sector. Given the leptokurtic character of operational losses, this percentile may lead to an overestimation of the capital required, and may even represent an unsustainable amount in the capital structure of a credit entity. However, the intention of the Committee is precisely to cover the risk of possible extreme losses situated in the tail of the distribution. In order to calibrate the impact of the percentile, we have compared the CaR calculated at the 99.9 percentile (reflected in table 13) with that obtained by applying other less conservative confidence intervals, that is, 90%, 95% and 99%, which are commonly used in the determination of the Value at Risk (VaR) in market risk. Table 15 gives the quantities ¹⁰ resulting from the application of each of the percentiles selected.

Event Type	Percentile			
Event Type	90	95	99	
External Fraud	113,900	118,892	129,936	
	(-23.33%)	(-19.97%)	(-12.54%)	
Employment Practices and Workplace Safety.	207,127	292,606	639,607	
	(-88.94%)	(-84.38%)	(-65.85%)	
Clients, Products and Business	156,611	255,852	793,335	
Practices	(-95.25%)	(-92.23%)	(-75.92%)	
Damage to Physical Assets	224,311	231,727	247,005	
	(-16.40%)	(-13.64%)	(-7.94%)	
Business Disruption and System	20,315	21,277	23,295	
	(-22.52%)	(-18.86%)	(-11.16%)	
Execution, Delivery and Process	555,061	563,887	580,744	
Management	(-8.12%)	(-6.66%)	(-3.87%)	
Global Computation for the	1,120,716	1,228,392	2,515,510	
Entity	(-81.97%)	(-80.23%)	(-59.52%)	

Table 15: CaR Estimation for different confidence intervals

The estimation of the CaR, illustrated in table 15, demonstrates the conservative effect of the regulatory percentile on the Capital at Risk. However, it can be seen from the results that this impact on the capital requirement becomes greater when the degree of kurtosis and the dispersion of the fitted severity distributions are both greater. It should be noted that, in the Processes cell, which presents the

 $^{^{10}}$ The percentage figures situated below the absolute value express the reduction with respect to CaR_{99.9%}.

highest frequency recorded, the capital saving when comparing the 90 percentile with respect to the 99.9 percentile only reaches 8.12%, in relative terms.

On the other hand, it is observed that the External Fraud risk presents reductions in the CaR of 23.33%, 19.97% and 12.54% for the 90%, 95% and 99% confidence intervals, respectively. In the Systems cell the previous estimations are practically replicated, while in the Damage to Physical Assets cell they are slightly lower. In contrast, in the Human Resources and Clients event types, the differences are much more extreme. For example, by setting a confidence interval of 99%, the capital saving would reach 65.85% for Human Resources, and 75.92% for Clients risks; if the confidence interval was 90%, the differences indicated would be 88.94% in the first event type, and 95.25% in the second one.

Again, when the data are summed for the entity as a whole, the weighting of the risks of low frequency and high severity ceases to be noted, since the total amount is found to vary, in decreasing order, by 81.97%, 80.23% and 59.52%, for the 90, 95 and 99 percentiles, respectively. More specifically, when the percentile is 99 –only 0.9 less than the regulatory level– the capital at risk would fall from 6,214,756 Euros to 2,515,510 Euros.

5.2 NON-ADVANCED METHODOLOGIES.

With the aim of comparing the capital savings resulting from the application of the LDA approach with that obtained using non-advanced methodologies, we have calculated the regulatory capital in accordance with the Basic (BIA) and Standardised (SA) models. In part 2, we detail the technical aspects of these two capital measurement methodologies. Both the BIA and the SA approaches cover operational risk with a capital amount equivalent to a fixed percentage of the so called exposure indicator. The principal difference between these two methods is that, in the Standardised Approach, the total amount of capital required is calculated as the sum of the regulatory capital requirements of each of the eight business lines described by the Basel Committee.

The Exposure Indicator

The Committee (2004: 129) has proposed the Gross Income variable as a proxy for the size or level of exposure to operational risk. Since the banking business of the credit entity analysed consists almost entirely of Retail Banking, to determine the Gross Income we have used the information contained in the Profit & Loss Account without consolidating it to group level.

Table 16 shows the make-up of the Gross Income figure:

CONCEPTS	2004	2005	2006
Assimilated Interest and Income	449,473	485,297	566,314
Assimilated Interest and Expenses	-193,002	-216,581	-286,108
Income from Capital Instruments	8,476	79,116	71,756
Commissions Received	77,254	87,374	95,515
Commissions Paid	-4,129	-7,481	-8,078
Results of Financial Operations	24,716	24,675	19,573
Other income from operations	6,739	7,457	9,581
Gross Income	369,527	459,857	468,553

Table 1	6: Gross	Income.
---------	----------	---------

*Figures in thousands of Euros

Average Gross Income:
$$Gl_{average} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} Gl_{1...n}}{n} = 432,646,000$$
 Euros.

The Regulatory Capital (K)

In the Basic Method a multiplier of 15% is set for the aggregate business of the bank. However, in the Standardised method, a different factor is taken for each business line; in the case of Retail banking the coefficient is set at 12% (see table 1). The calculations made are detailed below:

Basic Indicator Approach: $K_{BIA=}(average \ gross \ income \ x \ 15\%) = 64,897,000 \ Euros.$

Standard Approach: K_{SA=} (average gross income x 12%). = 51,917,000 Euros.

Comparing the capital amounts obtained from the BIA and SA approaches with that resulting from the LDA model, a notable divergence can be appreciated. In particular, the underlying saving of capital from applying the LDA model compared with the Basic and Standardised Methods is 90% and 88%, respectively, as noticed from figure 3.The Committee (2006: 14) recommends banking supervisors to set "prudential floors" in those entities that adopt the AMA methodologies. In particular, it wants minimum coefficients of capital to be established, with the purpose of ensuring the correct application of the advanced methods in each bank. These minimum may be based on a proportion of the capital

estimated by a non-advanced approach. Thus, in principle, the Committee (2001: 18) determined that the measurement of capital obtained by using an AMA approach could not be less than 75% of the amount of capital required obtained by the Standardised Approach; the CaR resulting from our Standardised LDA Model is far less than this minimum threshold. However, the delimitation of this minimum quota will be the competence, ultimately, of the national supervisor.



6. CONCLUSIONS.

The main aim of this paper is to highlight the existing divergences in the estimation of regulatory capital for operational risk associated with different methodologies proposed by the Committee, taking a Spanish financial entity as an example. In particular, we illustrate the potential benefit derived from implementing an advanced measurement approach, the LDA, versus the Basic and Standardised methods.

Thus, we have warned that the non-advanced approaches show some extremely conservative results; their capital charges are proportional to the entity's business volume, so that, in cycles of flourishing economic activity, the regulatory capital is expected to increase, independently of the scale of the risk controls established by the entity. However, it must also be noticed that the LDA model presented, based on a loss database of only three years (and possibly incomplete, too, especially for cases of Internal Fraud), may not reach the minimum coefficient of capital that the supervisor suggests for the implementation of AMA methodologies.

From a methodological perspective, the Basic and Standardised approaches present certain conceptual deficiencies, particularly with reference to their proposed exposure indicator, the entity's Gross Income; this is because this variable depends on the accounting systems of each country, and

embodies a potential risk of regulatory arbitrage. On the other hand, the implementation of the Standardised Approach produces a considerable capital saving, with respect to the Basic method, taking into account that the beta estimated for Retail banking is three percentage points less than the alpha coefficient.

In relation to the LDA model, we have demonstrated that the parametric characterisation of the severity distribution has more influence on the CaR than that of the frequency distribution. Thus, it is in the Human Resources and Clients cells, where losses have low frequency and high severity, that the highest capital figures have been reached. In both cells the theoretical levels of asymmetry and kurtosis are very high; these values are conditioned by the shape parameter (σ) of the *Lognormal* distribution. Equally, their scale parameters (μ) are high and, therefore, the mean and variance of their severity are also high in relation to the other types of risk that present lower parameters in the statistical fit. For example, in the Processes cell, with a much higher annual averaged frequency but with shape and scale parameters of its severity function lower than those indicated in the two previously mentioned cells, much lower capital consumption is obtained. Therefore, the rigorous analysis of all the assumptions that may condition the profile of this distribution will be essential.

Finally, this study provides critical elements when applying different methodologies proposed by the Committee. It also offers valuable parameters for a financial entity when deciding on the most realistic model of measurement to adopt, depending on their control structure, dimension or size.

7. REFERENCES.

Alexander, C. (2003): "Operational Risk: Regulation, Analysis and Management". Financial Times Prentice Hall, London.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (2001): "Working Paper on the Regulatory Treatment of Operational Risk". N°8, Basel, September.

-(2002): "Operational Risk Data Collection Exercise 2002". Basel, June.

-(2003): "Sound Practices for the Management and Supervision of Operational Risk". Nº96, Basel, February.

-(2004): "International Convergence of Capital Measurement and Capital Standards: a Revised Framework". N°107, Basle, June.

-(2006): "Basel II: International Convergence of Capital Measurement and Capital Standards: A Revised Framework - Comprehensive Version"., Basel, June.

Böcker, K. and Klüppelberg, C. (2005): "Operational VaR: a Closed-Form Approximation". Risk, December.

Bühlmann, H. (1970): "Mathematical Methods in Risk Theory". Grundlehren Der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 172, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg.

Chernobai, A., Rachev, S. T. and Fabozzi, F. J. (2005): "Composite Goodness-of-Fit Tests for Left-Truncated Loss Samples". Technical Report, University of California, Santa Barbara.

D'Agostino, R. B., and Stephens, M. A. (1986): "Goodness-of-Fit Techniques". Dekker, New York.

Da Costa, L. (2004): Operational Risk with Excel and VBA. Wiley Finance. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New Jersey.

Dahen, H. and Dionne, G. (2007): "What about Under-valuating Operational Value at Risk in the Banking Sector?". Working Paper 07-05, Canada Research Chair in Risk Management, September.

De Koker, R. (2006): "Operational Risk Modelling: Where Do we Go from Here". Pp. 37-58 in Davis (2006): The Advanced Measurement Approach to Operational Risk, Risk Books, London.

Dutta, K., and Perry, J. (2006): "A Tale of Tails: An Empirical Analysis of Loss Distribution Models for Estimating Operational". Risk Capital, Working Paper, Federal Reserve Bank of Boston.

Fontnouvelle, P., Rosengren, E. and Jordan, J. (2004) : "Implications of Alternative Operational Risk Modelling Techniques". Working Paper. Federal Reserve Bank of Boston.

Frachot, A., Moudoulaud, O. and Roncalli, T. (2003): "Loss Distribution Approach in Practice". Working document, Credit Lyonnais.

Frachot, A., Roncalli, T. and Salomon, E. (2004): "The Correlation Problem in Operational Risk". Working document, Credit Lyonnais.

Hoaglin, D. C., Mosteller, F. and Tukey, J. W. (1983): "Understanding Robust and Exploratory Data Analysis". John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York.

- (1985): "Exploring Data Tables, Trends, and Shapes". John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York.

Klugman, S., Panjer, H. and Willmot, G. (2004): "Loss Models: from Data to Decisions". 2nd ed. John Wiley & Sons.

Moscadelli, M. (2004): "The Modelling of Operational Risk: Experience with the Analysis of the Data Collected by the Basel Committee". Working paper of the Banco de Italia.

Panjer H. (2006): Operational Risk: Modeling Analytics. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. John Wiley & Son, Inc. New Jersey.

Tukey, J.W. (1977): "Exploratory Data Analysis". Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass.

Schwarz, G. (1978): "Estimating the Dimension of a Model". Annals of Statistics nº 6, 461–464.

DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO

Últimos números publicados

159/2000	Participación privada en la construcción y explotación de carreteras de peaje Ginés de Rus, Manuel Romero y Lourdes Trujillo
160/2000	Errores y posibles soluciones en la aplicación del <i>Value at Risk</i> Mariano González Sánchez
161/2000	Tax neutrality on saving assets. The spahish case before and after the tax reform Cristina Ruza y de Paz-Curbera
162/2000	Private rates of return to human capital in Spain: new evidence F. Barceinas, J. Oliver-Alonso, J.L. Raymond y J.L. Roig-Sabaté
163/2000	El control interno del riesgo. Una propuesta de sistema de límites riesgo neutral Mariano González Sánchez
164/2001	La evolución de las políticas de gasto de las Administraciones Públicas en los años 90 Alfonso Utrilla de la Hoz y Carmen Pérez Esparrells
165/2001	Bank cost efficiency and output specification Emili Tortosa-Ausina
166/2001	Recent trends in Spanish income distribution: A robust picture of falling income inequality Josep Oliver-Alonso, Xavier Ramos y José Luis Raymond-Bara
167/2001	Efectos redistributivos y sobre el bienestar social del tratamiento de las cargas familiares en el nuevo IRPF Nuria Badenes Plá, Julio López Laborda, Jorge Onrubia Fernández
168/2001	The Effects of Bank Debt on Financial Structure of Small and Medium Firms in some Euro- pean Countries Mónica Melle-Hernández
169/2001	La política de cohesión de la UE ampliada: la perspectiva de España Ismael Sanz Labrador
170/2002	Riesgo de liquidez de Mercado Mariano González Sánchez
171/2002	Los costes de administración para el afiliado en los sistemas de pensiones basados en cuentas de capitalización individual: medida y comparación internacional. José Enrique Devesa Carpio, Rosa Rodríguez Barrera, Carlos Vidal Meliá
172/2002	La encuesta continua de presupuestos familiares (1985-1996): descripción, representatividad y propuestas de metodología para la explotación de la información de los ingresos y el gasto. Llorenc Pou, Joaquín Alegre
173/2002	Modelos paramétricos y no paramétricos en problemas de concesión de tarjetas de credito. Rosa Puertas, María Bonilla, Ignacio Olmeda

174/2002	Mercado único, comercio intra-industrial y costes de ajuste en las manufacturas españolas. José Vicente Blanes Cristóbal
175/2003	La Administración tributaria en España. Un análisis de la gestión a través de los ingresos y de los gastos. Juan de Dios Jiménez Aguilera, Pedro Enrique Barrilao González
176/2003	The Falling Share of Cash Payments in Spain. Santiago Carbó Valverde, Rafael López del Paso, David B. Humphrey Publicado en "Moneda y Crédito" nº 217, pags. 167-189.
177/2003	Effects of ATMs and Electronic Payments on Banking Costs: The Spanish Case. Santiago Carbó Valverde, Rafael López del Paso, David B. Humphrey
178/2003	Factors explaining the interest margin in the banking sectors of the European Union. Joaquín Maudos y Juan Fernández Guevara
179/2003	Los planes de stock options para directivos y consejeros y su valoración por el mercado de valores en España. Mónica Melle Hernández
180/2003	Ownership and Performance in Europe and US Banking – A comparison of Commercial, Co- operative & Savings Banks. Yener Altunbas, Santiago Carbó y Phil Molyneux
181/2003	The Euro effect on the integration of the European stock markets. Mónica Melle Hernández
182/2004	In search of complementarity in the innovation strategy: international R&D and external knowledge acquisition. Bruno Cassiman, Reinhilde Veugelers
183/2004	Fijación de precios en el sector público: una aplicación para el servicio municipal de sumi- nistro de agua. Mª Ángeles García Valiñas
184/2004	Estimación de la economía sumergida es España: un modelo estructural de variables latentes. Ángel Alañón Pardo, Miguel Gómez de Antonio
185/2004	Causas políticas y consecuencias sociales de la corrupción. Joan Oriol Prats Cabrera
186/2004	Loan bankers' decisions and sensitivity to the audit report using the belief revision model. Andrés Guiral Contreras and José A. Gonzalo Angulo
187/2004	El modelo de Black, Derman y Toy en la práctica. Aplicación al mercado español. Marta Tolentino García-Abadillo y Antonio Díaz Pérez
188/2004	Does market competition make banks perform well?. Mónica Melle
189/2004	Efficiency differences among banks: external, technical, internal, and managerial Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey y Rafael López del Paso

190/2004	Una aproximación al análisis de los costes de la esquizofrenia en españa: los modelos jerár- quicos bayesianos F. J. Vázquez-Polo, M. A. Negrín, J. M. Cavasés, E. Sánchez y grupo RIRAG
191/2004	Environmental proactivity and business performance: an empirical analysis Javier González-Benito y Óscar González-Benito
192/2004	Economic risk to beneficiaries in notional defined contribution accounts (NDCs) Carlos Vidal-Meliá, Inmaculada Domínguez-Fabian y José Enrique Devesa-Carpio
193/2004	Sources of efficiency gains in port reform: non parametric malmquist decomposition tfp in- dex for Mexico Antonio Estache, Beatriz Tovar de la Fé y Lourdes Trujillo
194/2004	Persistencia de resultados en los fondos de inversión españoles Alfredo Ciriaco Fernández y Rafael Santamaría Aquilué
195/2005	El modelo de revisión de creencias como aproximación psicológica a la formación del juicio del auditor sobre la gestión continuada Andrés Guiral Contreras y Francisco Esteso Sánchez
196/2005	La nueva financiación sanitaria en España: descentralización y prospectiva David Cantarero Prieto
197/2005	A cointegration analysis of the Long-Run supply response of Spanish agriculture to the com- mon agricultural policy José A. Mendez, Ricardo Mora y Carlos San Juan
198/2005	¿Refleja la estructura temporal de los tipos de interés del mercado español preferencia por la li- quidez? Magdalena Massot Perelló y Juan M. Nave
199/2005	Análisis de impacto de los Fondos Estructurales Europeos recibidos por una economía regional: Un enfoque a través de Matrices de Contabilidad Social M. Carmen Lima y M. Alejandro Cardenete
200/2005	Does the development of non-cash payments affect monetary policy transmission? Santiago Carbó Valverde y Rafael López del Paso
201/2005	Firm and time varying technical and allocative efficiency: an application for port cargo han- dling firms Ana Rodríguez-Álvarez, Beatriz Tovar de la Fe y Lourdes Trujillo
202/2005	Contractual complexity in strategic alliances Jeffrey J. Reuer y Africa Ariño
203/2005	Factores determinantes de la evolución del empleo en las empresas adquiridas por opa Nuria Alcalde Fradejas y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
204/2005	Nonlinear Forecasting in Economics: a comparison between Comprehension Approach versus Learning Approach. An Application to Spanish Time Series Elena Olmedo, Juan M. Valderas, Ricardo Gimeno and Lorenzo Escot

205/2005	Precio de la tierra con presión urbana: un modelo para España Esther Decimavilla, Carlos San Juan y Stefan Sperlich
206/2005	Interregional migration in Spain: a semiparametric analysis Adolfo Maza y José Villaverde
207/2005	Productivity growth in European banking Carmen Murillo-Melchor, José Manuel Pastor y Emili Tortosa-Ausina
208/2005	Explaining Bank Cost Efficiency in Europe: Environmental and Productivity Influences. Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey y Rafael López del Paso
209/2005	La elasticidad de sustitución intertemporal con preferencias no separables intratemporalmente: los casos de Alemania, España y Francia. Elena Márquez de la Cruz, Ana R. Martínez Cañete y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
210/2005	Contribución de los efectos tamaño, book-to-market y momentum a la valoración de activos: el caso español. Begoña Font-Belaire y Alfredo Juan Grau-Grau
211/2005	Permanent income, convergence and inequality among countries José M. Pastor and Lorenzo Serrano
212/2005	The Latin Model of Welfare: Do 'Insertion Contracts' Reduce Long-Term Dependence? Luis Ayala and Magdalena Rodríguez
213/2005	The effect of geographic expansion on the productivity of Spanish savings banks Manuel Illueca, José M. Pastor and Emili Tortosa-Ausina
214/2005	Dynamic network interconnection under consumer switching costs Ángel Luis López Rodríguez
215/2005	La influencia del entorno socioeconómico en la realización de estudios universitarios: una aproxi- mación al caso español en la década de los noventa Marta Rahona López
216/2005	The valuation of spanish ipos: efficiency analysis Susana Álvarez Otero
217/2005	On the generation of a regular multi-input multi-output technology using parametric output dis- tance functions Sergio Perelman and Daniel Santin
218/2005	La gobernanza de los procesos parlamentarios: la organización industrial del congreso de los di- putados en España Gonzalo Caballero Miguez
219/2005	Determinants of bank market structure: Efficiency and political economy variables Francisco González
220/2005	Agresividad de las órdenes introducidas en el mercado español: estrategias, determinantes y me- didas de performance David Abad Díaz

221/2005	Tendencia post-anuncio de resultados contables: evidencia para el mercado español Carlos Forner Rodríguez, Joaquín Marhuenda Fructuoso y Sonia Sanabria García
222/2005	Human capital accumulation and geography: empirical evidence in the European Union Jesús López-Rodríguez, J. Andrés Faíña y Jose Lopez Rodríguez
223/2005	Auditors' Forecasting in Going Concern Decisions: Framing, Confidence and Information Proc- essing Waymond Rodgers and Andrés Guiral
224/2005	The effect of Structural Fund spending on the Galician region: an assessment of the 1994-1999 and 2000-2006 Galician CSFs José Ramón Cancelo de la Torre, J. Andrés Faíña and Jesús López-Rodríguez
225/2005	The effects of ownership structure and board composition on the audit committee activity: Span- ish evidence Carlos Fernández Méndez and Rubén Arrondo García
226/2005	Cross-country determinants of bank income smoothing by managing loan loss provisions Ana Rosa Fonseca and Francisco González
227/2005	Incumplimiento fiscal en el irpf (1993-2000): un análisis de sus factores determinantes Alejandro Estellér Moré
228/2005	Region versus Industry effects: volatility transmission Pilar Soriano Felipe and Francisco J. Climent Diranzo
229/2005	Concurrent Engineering: The Moderating Effect Of Uncertainty On New Product Development Success Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo and Sandra Valle
230/2005	On zero lower bound traps: a framework for the analysis of monetary policy in the 'age' of cen- tral banks Alfonso Palacio-Vera
231/2005	Reconciling Sustainability and Discounting in Cost Benefit Analysis: a methodological proposal M. Carmen Almansa Sáez and Javier Calatrava Requena
232/2005	Can The Excess Of Liquidity Affect The Effectiveness Of The European Monetary Policy? Santiago Carbó Valverde and Rafael López del Paso
233/2005	Inheritance Taxes In The Eu Fiscal Systems: The Present Situation And Future Perspectives. Miguel Angel Barberán Lahuerta
234/2006	Bank Ownership And Informativeness Of Earnings. Víctor M. González
235/2006	Developing A Predictive Method: A Comparative Study Of The Partial Least Squares Vs Maxi- mum Likelihood Techniques. Waymond Rodgers, Paul Pavlou and Andres Guiral.
236/2006	Using Compromise Programming for Macroeconomic Policy Making in a General Equilibrium Framework: Theory and Application to the Spanish Economy. Francisco J. André, M. Alejandro Cardenete y Carlos Romero.

237/2006	Bank Market Power And Sme Financing Constraints. Santiago Carbó-Valverde, Francisco Rodríguez-Fernández y Gregory F. Udell.
238/2006	Trade Effects Of Monetary Agreements: Evidence For Oecd Countries. Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano.
239/2006	The Quality Of Institutions: A Genetic Programming Approach. Marcos Álvarez-Díaz y Gonzalo Caballero Miguez.
240/2006	La interacción entre el éxito competitivo y las condiciones del mercado doméstico como deter- minantes de la decisión de exportación en las Pymes. Francisco García Pérez.
241/2006	Una estimación de la depreciación del capital humano por sectores, por ocupación y en el tiempo. Inés P. Murillo.
242/2006	Consumption And Leisure Externalities, Economic Growth And Equilibrium Efficiency. Manuel A. Gómez.
243/2006	Measuring efficiency in education: an analysis of different approaches for incorporating non-discretionary inputs. Jose Manuel Cordero-Ferrera, Francisco Pedraja-Chaparro y Javier Salinas-Jiménez
244/2006	Did The European Exchange-Rate Mechanism Contribute To The Integration Of Peripheral Countries?. Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
245/2006	Intergenerational Health Mobility: An Empirical Approach Based On The Echp. Marta Pascual and David Cantarero
246/2006	Measurement and analysis of the Spanish Stock Exchange using the Lyapunov exponent with digital technology. Salvador Rojí Ferrari and Ana Gonzalez Marcos
247/2006	Testing For Structural Breaks In Variance Withadditive Outliers And Measurement Errors. Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
248/2006	The Cost Of Market Power In Banking: Social Welfare Loss Vs. Cost Inefficiency. Joaquín Maudos and Juan Fernández de Guevara
249/2006	Elasticidades de largo plazo de la demanda de vivienda: evidencia para España (1885-2000). Desiderio Romero Jordán, José Félix Sanz Sanz y César Pérez López
250/2006	Regional Income Disparities in Europe: What role for location?. Jesús López-Rodríguez and J. Andrés Faíña
251/2006	Funciones abreviadas de bienestar social: Una forma sencilla de simultanear la medición de la eficiencia y la equidad de las políticas de gasto público. Nuria Badenes Plá y Daniel Santín González
252/2006	"The momentum effect in the Spanish stock market: Omitted risk factors or investor behaviour?". Luis Muga and Rafael Santamaría
253/2006	Dinámica de precios en el mercado español de gasolina: un equilibrio de colusión tácita. Jordi Perdiguero García

254/2006	Desigualdad regional en España: renta permanente versus renta corriente. José M.Pastor, Empar Pons y Lorenzo Serrano
255/2006	Environmental implications of organic food preferences: an application of the impure public goods model.
	Ana Maria Aldanondo-Ochoa y Carmen Almansa-Sáez
256/2006	Family tax credits versus family allowances when labour supply matters: Evidence for Spain. José Felix Sanz-Sanz, Desiderio Romero-Jordán y Santiago Álvarez-García
257/2006	La internacionalización de la empresa manufacturera española: efectos del capital humano genérico y específico. José López Rodríguez
258/2006	Evaluación de las migraciones interregionales en España, 1996-2004. María Martínez Torres
259/2006	Efficiency and market power in Spanish banking. Rolf Färe, Shawna Grosskopf y Emili Tortosa-Ausina.
260/2006	Asimetrías en volatilidad, beta y contagios entre las empresas grandes y pequeñas cotizadas en la bolsa española. Helena Chuliá y Hipòlit Torró.
261/2006	Birth Replacement Ratios: New Measures of Period Population Replacement. José Antonio Ortega.
262/2006	Accidentes de tráfico, víctimas mortales y consumo de alcohol. José M ^a Arranz y Ana I. Gil.
263/2006	Análisis de la Presencia de la Mujer en los Consejos de Administración de las Mil Mayores Em- presas Españolas. Ruth Mateos de Cabo, Lorenzo Escot Mangas y Ricardo Gimeno Nogués.
264/2006	Crisis y Reforma del Pacto de Estabilidad y Crecimiento. Las Limitaciones de la Política Econó- mica en Europa. Ignacio Álvarez Peralta.
265/2006	Have Child Tax Allowances Affected Family Size? A Microdata Study For Spain (1996-2000). Jaime Vallés-Giménez y Anabel Zárate-Marco.
266/2006	Health Human Capital And The Shift From Foraging To Farming. Paolo Rungo.
267/2006	Financiación Autonómica y Política de la Competencia: El Mercado de Gasolina en Canarias. Juan Luis Jiménez y Jordi Perdiguero.
268/2006	El cumplimiento del Protocolo de Kyoto para los hogares españoles: el papel de la imposición sobre la energía. Desiderio Romero-Jordán y José Félix Sanz-Sanz.
269/2006	Banking competition, financial dependence and economic growth Joaquín Maudos y Juan Fernández de Guevara
270/2006	Efficiency, subsidies and environmental adaptation of animal farming under CAP Werner Kleinhanß, Carmen Murillo, Carlos San Juan y Stefan Sperlich

271/2006	Interest Groups, Incentives to Cooperation and Decision-Making Process in the European Union A. Garcia-Lorenzo y Jesús López-Rodríguez
272/2006	Riesgo asimétrico y estrategias de momentum en el mercado de valores español Luis Muga y Rafael Santamaría
273/2006	Valoración de capital-riesgo en proyectos de base tecnológica e innovadora a través de la teoría de opciones reales Gracia Rubio Martín
274/2006	Capital stock and unemployment: searching for the missing link Ana Rosa Martínez-Cañete, Elena Márquez de la Cruz, Alfonso Palacio-Vera and Inés Pérez- Soba Aguilar
275/2006	Study of the influence of the voters' political culture on vote decision through the simulation of a political competition problem in Spain Sagrario Lantarón, Isabel Lillo, M ^a Dolores López and Javier Rodrigo
276/2006	Investment and growth in Europe during the Golden Age Antonio Cubel and M ^a Teresa Sanchis
277/2006	Efectos de vincular la pensión pública a la inversión en cantidad y calidad de hijos en un modelo de equilibrio general Robert Meneu Gaya
278/2006	El consumo y la valoración de activos Elena Márquez y Belén Nieto
279/2006	Economic growth and currency crisis: A real exchange rate entropic approach David Matesanz Gómez y Guillermo J. Ortega
280/2006	Three measures of returns to education: An illustration for the case of Spain María Arrazola y José de Hevia
281/2006	Composition of Firms versus Composition of Jobs Antoni Cunyat
282/2006	La vocación internacional de un holding tranviario belga: la Compagnie Mutuelle de Tram- ways, 1895-1918 Alberte Martínez López
283/2006	Una visión panorámica de las entidades de crédito en España en la última década. Constantino García Ramos
284/2006	Foreign Capital and Business Strategies: a comparative analysis of urban transport in Madrid and Barcelona, 1871-1925 Alberte Martínez López
285/2006	Los intereses belgas en la red ferroviaria catalana, 1890-1936 Alberte Martínez López
286/2006	The Governance of Quality: The Case of the Agrifood Brand Names Marta Fernández Barcala, Manuel González-Díaz y Emmanuel Raynaud
287/2006	Modelling the role of health status in the transition out of malthusian equilibrium Paolo Rungo, Luis Currais and Berta Rivera
288/2006	Industrial Effects of Climate Change Policies through the EU Emissions Trading Scheme Xavier Labandeira and Miguel Rodríguez

289/2006	Globalisation and the Composition of Government Spending: An analysis for OECD countries Norman Gemmell, Richard Kneller and Ismael Sanz
290/2006	La producción de energía eléctrica en España: Análisis económico de la actividad tras la liberali- zación del Sector Eléctrico Fernando Hernández Martínez
291/2006	Further considerations on the link between adjustment costs and the productivity of R&D invest- ment: evidence for Spain Desiderio Romero-Jordán, José Félix Sanz-Sanz and Inmaculada Álvarez-Ayuso
292/2006	Una teoría sobre la contribución de la función de compras al rendimiento empresarial Javier González Benito
293/2006	Agility drivers, enablers and outcomes: empirical test of an integrated agile manufacturing model Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo, Lucía Avella and Esteban Fernández
294/2006	Testing the parametric vs the semiparametric generalized mixed effects models María José Lombardía and Stefan Sperlich
295/2006	Nonlinear dynamics in energy futures Mariano Matilla-García
296/2006	Estimating Spatial Models By Generalized Maximum Entropy Or How To Get Rid Of W Esteban Fernández Vázquez, Matías Mayor Fernández and Jorge Rodriguez-Valez
297/2006	Optimización fiscal en las transmisiones lucrativas: análisis metodológico Félix Domínguez Barrero
298/2006	La situación actual de la banca online en España Francisco José Climent Diranzo y Alexandre Momparler Pechuán
299/2006	Estrategia competitiva y rendimiento del negocio: el papel mediador de la estrategia y las capacidades productivas Javier González Benito y Isabel Suárez González
300/2006	A Parametric Model to Estimate Risk in a Fixed Income Portfolio Pilar Abad and Sonia Benito
301/2007	Análisis Empírico de las Preferencias Sociales Respecto del Gasto en Obra Social de las Cajas de Ahorros Alejandro Esteller-Moré, Jonathan Jorba Jiménez y Albert Solé-Ollé
302/2007	Assessing the enlargement and deepening of regional trading blocs: The European Union case Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero y José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
303/2007	¿Es la Franquicia un Medio de Financiación?: Evidencia para el Caso Español Vanesa Solís Rodríguez y Manuel González Díaz
304/2007	On the Finite-Sample Biases in Nonparametric Testing for Variance Constancy Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
305/2007	Spain is Different: Relative Wages 1989-98 José Antonio Carrasco Gallego

306/2007	Poverty reduction and SAM multipliers: An evaluation of public policies in a regional framework Francisco Javier De Miguel-Vélez y Jesús Pérez-Mayo
307/2007	La Eficiencia en la Gestión del Riesgo de Crédito en las Cajas de Ahorro Marcelino Martínez Cabrera
308/2007	Optimal environmental policy in transport: unintended effects on consumers' generalized price M. Pilar Socorro and Ofelia Betancor
309/2007	Agricultural Productivity in the European Regions: Trends and Explanatory Factors Roberto Ezcurra, Belen Iráizoz, Pedro Pascual and Manuel Rapún
310/2007	Long-run Regional Population Divergence and Modern Economic Growth in Europe: a Case Study of Spain María Isabel Ayuda, Fernando Collantes and Vicente Pinilla
311/2007	Financial Information effects on the measurement of Commercial Banks' Efficiency Borja Amor, María T. Tascón and José L. Fanjul
312/2007	Neutralidad e incentivos de las inversiones financieras en el nuevo IRPF Félix Domínguez Barrero
313/2007	The Effects of Corporate Social Responsibility Perceptions on The Valuation of Common Stock Waymond Rodgers , Helen Choy and Andres Guiral-Contreras
314/2007	Country Creditor Rights, Information Sharing and Commercial Banks' Profitability Persistence across the world Borja Amor, María T. Tascón and José L. Fanjul
315/2007	¿Es Relevante el Déficit Corriente en una Unión Monetaria? El Caso Español Javier Blanco González y Ignacio del Rosal Fernández
316/2007	The Impact of Credit Rating Announcements on Spanish Corporate Fixed Income Performance: Returns, Yields and Liquidity Pilar Abad, Antonio Díaz and M. Dolores Robles
317/2007	Indicadores de Lealtad al Establecimiento y Formato Comercial Basados en la Distribución del Presupuesto Cesar Augusto Bustos Reyes y Óscar González Benito
318/2007	Migrants and Market Potential in Spain over The XXth Century: A Test Of The New Economic Geography Daniel A. Tirado, Jordi Pons, Elisenda Paluzie and Javier Silvestre
319/2007	El Impacto del Coste de Oportunidad de la Actividad Emprendedora en la Intención de los Ciu- dadanos Europeos de Crear Empresas Luis Miguel Zapico Aldeano
320/2007	Los belgas y los ferrocarriles de vía estrecha en España, 1887-1936 Alberte Martínez López
321/2007	Competición política bipartidista. Estudio geométrico del equilibrio en un caso ponderado Isabel Lillo, Mª Dolores López y Javier Rodrigo
322/2007	Human resource management and environment management systems: an empirical study M ^a Concepción López Fernández, Ana M ^a Serrano Bedia and Gema García Piqueres

323/2007	Wood and industrialization. evidence and hypotheses from the case of Spain, 1860-1935. Iñaki Iriarte-Goñi and María Isabel Ayuda Bosque
324/2007	New evidence on long-run monetary neutrality. J. Cunado, L.A. Gil-Alana and F. Perez de Gracia
325/2007	Monetary policy and structural changes in the volatility of us interest rates. Juncal Cuñado, Javier Gomez Biscarri and Fernando Perez de Gracia
326/2007	The productivity effects of intrafirm diffusion. Lucio Fuentelsaz, Jaime Gómez and Sergio Palomas
327/2007	Unemployment duration, layoffs and competing risks. J.M. Arranz, C. García-Serrano and L. Toharia
328/2007	El grado de cobertura del gasto público en España respecto a la UE-15 Nuria Rueda, Begoña Barruso, Carmen Calderón y M ^ª del Mar Herrador
329/2007	The Impact of Direct Subsidies in Spain before and after the CAP'92 Reform Carmen Murillo, Carlos San Juan and Stefan Sperlich
330/2007	Determinants of post-privatisation performance of Spanish divested firms Laura Cabeza García and Silvia Gómez Ansón
331/2007	¿Por qué deciden diversificar las empresas españolas? Razones oportunistas versus razones económicas Almudena Martínez Campillo
332/2007	Dynamical Hierarchical Tree in Currency Markets Juan Gabriel Brida, David Matesanz Gómez and Wiston Adrián Risso
333/2007	Los determinantes sociodemográficos del gasto sanitario. Análisis con microdatos individuales Ana María Angulo, Ramón Barberán, Pilar Egea y Jesús Mur
334/2007	Why do companies go private? The Spanish case Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar
335/2007	The use of gis to study transport for disabled people Verónica Cañal Fernández
336/2007	The long run consequences of M&A: An empirical application Cristina Bernad, Lucio Fuentelsaz and Jaime Gómez
337/2007	Las clasificaciones de materias en economía: principios para el desarrollo de una nueva clasificación Valentín Edo Hernández
338/2007	Reforming Taxes and Improving Health: A Revenue-Neutral Tax Reform to Eliminate Medical and Pharmaceutical VAT Santiago Álvarez-García, Carlos Pestana Barros y Juan Prieto-Rodriguez
339/2007	Impacts of an iron and steel plant on residential property values Celia Bilbao-Terol
340/2007	Firm size and capital structure: Evidence using dynamic panel data Víctor M. González and Francisco González

 342/2007 Análisis de los efectos de la decisión de diversificar: un contraste del marco teórico "Agencia-Stewardship" Almudena Martínez Campillo y Roberto Fernández Gago 343/2007 Selecting portfolios given multiple eurostoxx-based uncertainty scenarios: a stochastic goal pr gramming approach from fuzzy betas Enrique Ballestero, Blanca Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra and Amelia Bilbao-Terol 344/2007 "El bienestar de los inmigrantes y los factores implicados en la decisión de emigrar" Anastasia Hernández Alemán y Carmelo J. León 345/2007 Governance Decisions in the R&D Process: An Integrative Framework Based on TCT and Kn ledge View of The Firm. Andrea Martínez-Noya and Esteban García-Canal 346/2007 Diferencias salariales entre empresas públicas y privadas. El caso español Begoña Cueto y Nuria Sánchez- Sánchez 347/2007 Effects of Fiscal Treatments of Second Home Ownership on Renting Supply Celia Bilbao Terol and Juan Prieto Rodríguez 348/2007 Auditors' ethical dilemmas in the going concern evaluation Andres Guiral, Waymond Rodgers, Emiliano Ruiz and Jose A. Gonzalo 349/2007 Convergencia en capital humano en España. Un análisis regional para el periodo 1970-2004 Susana Morales Sequera y Carmen Pérez Esparrells 350/2007 Socially responsible investment: mutual funds portfolio selection using fuzzy multiobjective p gramming Blanca Mª Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra , Amelia Bilbao-Terol and Mª Victoria Rodríguez Uría 351/2007 Persistencia del resultado contable y sus componentes: implicaciones de la medida de ajustes p devengo De ta En de termina de ta parte parte de a fuezzo de ta subta de contable y sus componentes: implicaciones de la medida de ajustes p devengo 	'0- 0W-
 343/2007 Selecting portfolios given multiple eurostoxx-based uncertainty scenarios: a stochastic goal pr gramming approach from fuzzy betas Enrique Ballestero, Blanca Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra and Amelia Bilbao-Terol 344/2007 "El bienestar de los inmigrantes y los factores implicados en la decisión de emigrar" Anastasia Hernández Alemán y Carmelo J. León 345/2007 Governance Decisions in the R&D Process: An Integrative Framework Based on TCT and Kn ledge View of The Firm. Andrea Martínez-Noya and Esteban García-Canal 346/2007 Diferencias salariales entre empresas públicas y privadas. El caso español Begoña Cueto y Nuria Sánchez-Sánchez 347/2007 Effects of Fiscal Treatments of Second Home Ownership on Renting Supply Celia Bilbao Terol and Juan Prieto Rodríguez 348/2007 Auditors' ethical dilemmas in the going concern evaluation Andres Guiral, Waymond Rodgers, Emiliano Ruiz and Jose A. Gonzalo 349/2007 Convergencia en capital humano en España. Un análisis regional para el periodo 1970-2004 Susana Morales Sequera y Carmen Pérez Esparrells 350/2007 Socially responsible investment: mutual funds portfolio selection using fuzzy multiobjective p gramming Blanca M^a Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra , Amelia Bilbao-Terol and M^a Victoria Rodríguez Uría 351/2007 Persistencia del resultado contable y sus componentes: implicaciones de la medida de ajustes p devengo 	ow-
 344/2007 "El bienestar de los inmigrantes y los factores implicados en la decisión de emigrar" Anastasia Hernández Alemán y Carmelo J. León 345/2007 Governance Decisions in the R&D Process: An Integrative Framework Based on TCT and Kn ledge View of The Firm. Andrea Martínez-Noya and Esteban García-Canal 346/2007 Diferencias salariales entre empresas públicas y privadas. El caso español Begoña Cueto y Nuria Sánchez- Sánchez 347/2007 Effects of Fiscal Treatments of Second Home Ownership on Renting Supply Celia Bilbao Terol and Juan Prieto Rodríguez 348/2007 Auditors' ethical dilemmas in the going concern evaluation Andres Guiral, Waymond Rodgers, Emiliano Ruiz and Jose A. Gonzalo 349/2007 Convergencia en capital humano en España. Un análisis regional para el periodo 1970-2004 Susana Morales Sequera y Carmen Pérez Esparrells 350/2007 Socially responsible investment: mutual funds portfolio selection using fuzzy multiobjective p gramming Blanca Mª Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra , Amelia Bilbao-Terol and Mª Victoria Rodríguez Uría 351/2007 Persistencia del resultado contable y sus componentes: implicaciones de la medida de ajustes p devengo 	OW-
 345/2007 Governance Decisions in the R&D Process: An Integrative Framework Based on TCT and Kn ledge View of The Firm. Andrea Martínez-Noya and Esteban García-Canal 346/2007 Diferencias salariales entre empresas públicas y privadas. El caso español Begoña Cueto y Nuria Sánchez- Sánchez 347/2007 Effects of Fiscal Treatments of Second Home Ownership on Renting Supply Celia Bilbao Terol and Juan Prieto Rodríguez 348/2007 Auditors' ethical dilemmas in the going concern evaluation Andres Guiral, Waymond Rodgers, Emiliano Ruiz and Jose A. Gonzalo 349/2007 Convergencia en capital humano en España. Un análisis regional para el periodo 1970-2004 Susana Morales Sequera y Carmen Pérez Esparrells 350/2007 Socially responsible investment: mutual funds portfolio selection using fuzzy multiobjective p gramming Blanca Mª Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra , Amelia Bilbao-Terol and Mª Victoria Rodríguez Uría 351/2007 Persistencia del resultado contable y sus componentes: implicaciones de la medida de ajustes p devengo 	OW-
 346/2007 Diferencias salariales entre empresas públicas y privadas. El caso español Begoña Cueto y Nuria Sánchez- Sánchez 347/2007 Effects of Fiscal Treatments of Second Home Ownership on Renting Supply Celia Bilbao Terol and Juan Prieto Rodríguez 348/2007 Auditors' ethical dilemmas in the going concern evaluation Andres Guiral, Waymond Rodgers, Emiliano Ruiz and Jose A. Gonzalo 349/2007 Convergencia en capital humano en España. Un análisis regional para el periodo 1970-2004 Susana Morales Sequera y Carmen Pérez Esparrells 350/2007 Socially responsible investment: mutual funds portfolio selection using fuzzy multiobjective p gramming Blanca Mª Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra , Amelia Bilbao-Terol and Mª Victoria Rodríguez Uría 351/2007 Persistencia del resultado contable y sus componentes: implicaciones de la medida de ajustes p devengo 	
 347/2007 Effects of Fiscal Treatments of Second Home Ownership on Renting Supply Celia Bilbao Terol and Juan Prieto Rodríguez 348/2007 Auditors' ethical dilemmas in the going concern evaluation Andres Guiral, Waymond Rodgers, Emiliano Ruiz and Jose A. Gonzalo 349/2007 Convergencia en capital humano en España. Un análisis regional para el periodo 1970-2004 Susana Morales Sequera y Carmen Pérez Esparrells 350/2007 Socially responsible investment: mutual funds portfolio selection using fuzzy multiobjective p gramming Blanca Mª Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra , Amelia Bilbao-Terol and Mª Victoria Rodríguez Uría 351/2007 Persistencia del resultado contable y sus componentes: implicaciones de la medida de ajustes p devengo 	
 348/2007 Auditors' ethical dilemmas in the going concern evaluation Andres Guiral, Waymond Rodgers, Emiliano Ruiz and Jose A. Gonzalo 349/2007 Convergencia en capital humano en España. Un análisis regional para el periodo 1970-2004 Susana Morales Sequera y Carmen Pérez Esparrells 350/2007 Socially responsible investment: mutual funds portfolio selection using fuzzy multiobjective p gramming Blanca M^a Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra , Amelia Bilbao-Terol and M^a Victoria Rodríguez Uría 351/2007 Persistencia del resultado contable y sus componentes: implicaciones de la medida de ajustes p devengo 	
 349/2007 Convergencia en capital humano en España. Un análisis regional para el periodo 1970-2004 Susana Morales Sequera y Carmen Pérez Esparrells 350/2007 Socially responsible investment: mutual funds portfolio selection using fuzzy multiobjective p gramming Blanca Mª Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra , Amelia Bilbao-Terol and Mª Victoria Rodríguez Uría 351/2007 Persistencia del resultado contable y sus componentes: implicaciones de la medida de ajustes p devengo De cla Ma Contable y sus componentes: implicaciones de la medida de ajustes p 	
 350/2007 Socially responsible investment: mutual funds portfolio selection using fuzzy multiobjective p gramming Blanca M^a Pérez-Gladish, Mar Arenas-Parra , Amelia Bilbao-Terol and M^a Victoria Rodríguez Uría 351/2007 Persistencia del resultado contable y sus componentes: implicaciones de la medida de ajustes p devengo De di Vici de Contable De di Elemente De di Elemente 	
351/2007 Persistencia del resultado contable y sus componentes: implicaciones de la medida de ajustes p devengo	ro- z-
Raul Iniguez Sanchez y Francisco Poveda Fuentes	or
352/2007 Wage Inequality and Globalisation: What can we Learn from the Past? A General Equilibrium Approach Concha Betrán, Javier Ferri and Maria A. Pons	1
353/2007 Eficacia de los incentivos fiscales a la inversión en I+D en España en los años noventa Desiderio Romero Jordán y José Félix Sanz Sanz	
354/2007 Convergencia regional en renta y bienestar en España Robert Meneu Gaya	
355/2007 Tributación ambiental: Estado de la Cuestión y Experiencia en España Ana Carrera Poncela	
356/2007Salient features of dependence in daily us stock market indices Luis A. Gil-Alana, Juncal Cuñado and Fernando Pérez de Gracia	
357/2007 La educación superior: ¿un gasto o una inversión rentable para el sector público? Inés P. Murillo y Francisco Pedraja	

358/2007	Effects of a reduction of working hours on a model with job creation and job destruction Emilio Domínguez, Miren Ullibarri y Idoya Zabaleta
359/2007	Stock split size, signaling and earnings management: Evidence from the Spanish market José Yagüe, J. Carlos Gómez-Sala and Francisco Poveda-Fuentes
360/2007	Modelización de las expectativas y estrategias de inversión en mercados de derivados Begoña Font-Belaire
361/2008	Trade in capital goods during the golden age, 1953-1973 M ^a Teresa Sanchis and Antonio Cubel
362/2008	El capital económico por riesgo operacional: una aplicación del modelo de distribución de pérdidas Enrique José Jiménez Rodríguez y José Manuel Feria Domínguez
363/2008	The drivers of effectiveness in competition policy Joan-Ramon Borrell and Juan-Luis Jiménez
364/2008	Corporate governance structure and board of directors remuneration policies: evidence from Spain Carlos Fernández Méndez, Rubén Arrondo García and Enrique Fernández Rodríguez
365/2008	Beyond the disciplinary role of governance: how boards and donors add value to Spanish founda- tions Pablo De Andrés Alonso, Valentín Azofra Palenzuela y M. Elena Romero Merino
366/2008	Complejidad y perfeccionamiento contractual para la contención del oportunismo en los acuerdos de franquicia Vanesa Solís Rodríguez y Manuel González Díaz
367/2008	Inestabilidad y convergencia entre las regiones europeas Jesús Mur, Fernando López y Ana Angulo
368/2008	Análisis espacial del cierre de explotaciones agrarias Ana Aldanondo Ochoa, Carmen Almansa Sáez y Valero Casanovas Oliva
369/2008	Cross-Country Efficiency Comparison between Italian and Spanish Public Universities in the period 2000-2005 Tommaso Agasisti and Carmen Pérez Esparrells
370/2008	El desarrollo de la sociedad de la información en España: un análisis por comunidades autónomas María Concepción García Jiménez y José Luis Gómez Barroso
371/2008	El medioambiente y los objetivos de fabricación: un análisis de los modelos estratégicos para su consecución Lucía Avella Camarero, Esteban Fernández Sánchez y Daniel Vázquez-Bustelo
372/2008	Influence of bank concentration and institutions on capital structure: New international evidence Víctor M. González and Francisco González
373/2008	Generalización del concepto de equilibrio en juegos de competición política Mª Dolores López González y Javier Rodrigo Hitos
374/2008	Smooth Transition from Fixed Effects to Mixed Effects Models in Multi-level regression Models María José Lombardía and Stefan Sperlich

375/2008	A Revenue-Neutral Tax Reform to Increase Demand for Public Transport Services Carlos Pestana Barros and Juan Prieto-Rodriguez
376/2008	Measurement of intra-distribution dynamics: An application of different approaches to the Euro- pean regions Adolfo Maza, María Hierro and José Villaverde
377/2008	Migración interna de extranjeros y ¿nueva fase en la convergencia? María Hierro y Adolfo Maza
378/2008	Efectos de la Reforma del Sector Eléctrico: Modelización Teórica y Experiencia Internacional Ciro Eduardo Bazán Navarro
379/2008	A Non-Parametric Independence Test Using Permutation Entropy Mariano Matilla-García and Manuel Ruiz Marín
380/2008	Testing for the General Fractional Unit Root Hypothesis in the Time Domain Uwe Hassler, Paulo M.M. Rodrigues and Antonio Rubia
381/2008	Multivariate gram-charlier densities Esther B. Del Brio, Trino-Manuel Ñíguez and Javier Perote
382/2008	Analyzing Semiparametrically the Trends in the Gender Pay Gap - The Example of Spain Ignacio Moral-Arce, Stefan Sperlich, Ana I. Fernández-Saínz and Maria J. Roca
383/2008	A Cost-Benefit Analysis of a Two-Sided Card Market Santiago Carbó Valverde, David B. Humphrey, José Manuel Liñares Zegarra and Francisco Rod- riguez Fernandez
384/2008	A Fuzzy Bicriteria Approach for Journal Deselection in a Hospital Library M. L. López-Avello, M. V. Rodríguez-Uría, B. Pérez-Gladish, A. Bilbao-Terol, M. Arenas-Parra
385/2008	Valoración de las grandes corporaciones farmaceúticas, a través del análisis de sus principales intangibles, con el método de opciones reales Gracia Rubio Martín y Prosper Lamothe Fernández
386/2008	El marketing interno como impulsor de las habilidades comerciales de las pyme españolas: efectos en los resultados empresariales Mª Leticia Santos Vijande, Mª José Sanzo Pérez, Nuria García Rodríguez y Juan A. Trespalacios Gutiérrez
387/2008	Understanding Warrants Pricing: A case study of the financial market in Spain David Abad y Belén Nieto
388/2008	Aglomeración espacial, Potencial de Mercado y Geografía Económica: Una revisión de la litera- tura Jesús López-Rodríguez y J. Andrés Faíña
389/2008	An empirical assessment of the impact of switching costs and first mover advantages on firm performance Jaime Gómez, Juan Pablo Maícas
390/2008	Tender offers in Spain: testing the wave Ana R. Martínez-Cañete y Inés Pérez-Soba Aguilar

391/2008	La integración del mercado español a finales del siglo XIX: los precios del trigo entre 1891 y 1905 Mariano Matilla García, Pedro Pérez Pascual y Basilio Sanz Carnero
392/2008	Cuando el tamaño importa: estudio sobre la influencia de los sujetos políticos en la balanza de bienes y servicios Alfonso Echazarra de Gregorio
393/2008	Una visión cooperativa de las medidas ante el posible daño ambiental de la desalación Borja Montaño Sanz
394/2008	Efectos externos del endeudamiento sobre la calificación crediticia de las Comunidades Autóno- mas Andrés Leal Marcos y Julio López Laborda
395/2008	Technical efficiency and productivity changes in Spanish airports: A parametric distance func- tions approach Beatriz Tovar & Roberto Rendeiro Martín-Cejas
396/2008	Network analysis of exchange data: Interdependence drives crisis contagion David Matesanz Gómez & Guillermo J. Ortega
397/2008	Explaining the performance of Spanish privatised firms: a panel data approach Laura Cabeza Garcia and Silvia Gomez Anson
398/2008	Technological capabilities and the decision to outsource R&D services Andrea Martínez-Noya and Esteban García-Canal
399/2008	Hybrid Risk Adjustment for Pharmaceutical Benefits Manuel García-Goñi, Pere Ibern & José María Inoriza
400/2008	The Team Consensus–Performance Relationship and the Moderating Role of Team Diversity José Henrique Dieguez, Javier González-Benito and Jesús Galende
401/2008	The institutional determinants of CO ₂ emissions: A computational modelling approach using Artificial Neural Networks and Genetic Programming Marcos Álvarez-Díaz , Gonzalo Caballero Miguez and Mario Soliño
402/2008	Alternative Approaches to Include Exogenous Variables in DEA Measures: A Comparison Using Monte Carlo José Manuel Cordero-Ferrera, Francisco Pedraja-Chaparro and Daniel Santín-González
403/2008	Efecto diferencial del capital humano en el crecimiento económico andaluz entre 1985 y 2004: comparación con el resto de España Mª del Pópulo Pablo-Romero Gil-Delgado y Mª de la Palma Gómez-Calero Valdés
404/2008	Análisis de fusiones, variaciones conjeturales y la falacia del estimador en diferencias Juan Luis Jiménez y Jordi Perdiguero
405/2008	Política fiscal en la uem: ¿basta con los estabilizadores automáticos? Jorge Uxó González y Mª Jesús Arroyo Fernández
406/2008	Papel de la orientación emprendedora y la orientación al mercado en el éxito de las empresas Óscar González-Benito, Javier González-Benito y Pablo A. Muñoz-Gallego
407/2008	La presión fiscal por impuesto sobre sociedades en la unión europea Elena Fernández Rodríguez, Antonio Martínez Arias y Santiago Álvarez García

408/2008	The environment as a determinant factor of the purchasing and supply strategy: an empirical ana- lysis Dr. Javier González-Benito y MS Duilio Reis da Rocha
409/2008	Cooperation for innovation: the impact on innovatory effort Gloria Sánchez González and Liliana Herrera
410/2008	Spanish post-earnings announcement drift and behavioral finance models Carlos Forner and Sonia Sanabria
411/2008	Decision taking with external pressure: evidence on football manager dismissals in argentina and their consequences Ramón Flores, David Forrest and Juan de Dios Tena
412/2008	Comercio agrario latinoamericano, 1963-2000: aplicación de la ecuación gravitacional para flujos desagregados de comercio Raúl Serrano y Vicente Pinilla
413/2008	Voter heuristics in Spain: a descriptive approach elector decision José Luís Sáez Lozano and Antonio M. Jaime Castillo
414/2008	Análisis del efecto área de salud de residencia sobre la utilización y acceso a los servicios sanita- rios en la Comunidad Autónoma Canaria Ignacio Abásolo Alessón, Lidia García Pérez, Raquel Aguiar Ibáñez y Asier Amador Robayna
415/2008	Impact on competitive balance from allowing foreign players in a sports league: an analytical model and an empirical test Ramón Flores, David Forrest & Juan de Dios Tena
416/2008	Organizational innovation and productivity growth: Assessing the impact of outsourcing on firm performance Alberto López
417/2008	Value Efficiency Analysis of Health Systems Eduardo González, Ana Cárcaba & Juan Ventura
418/2008	Equidad en la utilización de servicios sanitarios públicos por comunidades autónomas en España: un análisis multinivel Ignacio Abásolo, Jaime Pinilla, Miguel Negrín, Raquel Aguiar y Lidia García
419/2008	Piedras en el camino hacia Bolonia: efectos de la implantación del EEES sobre los resultados académicos Carmen Florido, Juan Luis Jiménez e Isabel Santana
420/2008	The welfare effects of the allocation of airlines to different terminals M. Pilar Socorro and Ofelia Betancor
421/2008	How bank capital buffers vary across countries. The influence of cost of deposits, market power and bank regulation Ana Rosa Fonseca and Francisco González
422/2008	Analysing health limitations in spain: an empirical approach based on the european community household panel Marta Pascual and David Cantarero

423/2008	Regional productivity variation and the impact of public capital stock: an analysis with spatial interaction, with reference to Spain Miguel Gómez-Antonio and Bernard Fingleton
424/2008	Average effect of training programs on the time needed to find a job. The case of the training schools program in the south of Spain (Seville, 1997-1999). José Manuel Cansino Muñoz-Repiso and Antonio Sánchez Braza
425/2008	Medición de la eficiencia y cambio en la productividad de las empresas distribuidoras de electri- cidad en Perú después de las reformas Raúl Pérez-Reyes y Beatriz Tovar
426/2008	Acercando posturas sobre el descuento ambiental: sondeo Delphi a expertos en el ámbito interna- cional Carmen Almansa Sáez y José Miguel Martínez Paz
427/2008	Determinants of abnormal liquidity after rating actions in the Corporate Debt Market Pilar Abad, Antonio Díaz and M. Dolores Robles
428/2008	Export led-growth and balance of payments constrained. New formalization applied to Cuban commercial regimes since 1960 David Matesanz Gómez, Guadalupe Fugarolas Álvarez-Ude and Isis Mañalich Gálvez
429/2008	La deuda implícita y el desequilibrio financiero-actuarial de un sistema de pensiones. El caso del régimen general de la seguridad social en España José Enrique Devesa Carpio y Mar Devesa Carpio
430/2008	Efectos de la descentralización fiscal sobre el precio de los carburantes en España Desiderio Romero Jordán, Marta Jorge García-Inés y Santiago Álvarez García
431/2008	Euro, firm size and export behavior Silviano Esteve-Pérez, Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero and José Antonio Martínez-Serrano
432/2008	Does social spending increase support for free trade in advanced democracies? Ismael Sanz, Ferran Martínez i Coma and Federico Steinberg
433/2008	Potencial de Mercado y Estructura Espacial de Salarios: El Caso de Colombia Jesús López-Rodríguez y Maria Cecilia Acevedo
434/2008	Persistence in Some Energy Futures Markets Juncal Cunado, Luis A. Gil-Alana and Fernando Pérez de Gracia
435/2008	La inserción financiera externa de la economía francesa: inversores institucionales y nueva gestión empresarial Ignacio Álvarez Peralta
436/2008	¿Flexibilidad o rigidez salarial en España?: un análisis a escala regional Ignacio Moral Arce y Adolfo Maza Fernández
437/2009	Intangible relationship-specific investments and the performance of r&d outsourcing agreements Andrea Martínez-Noya, Esteban García-Canal & Mauro F. Guillén
438/2009	Friendly or Controlling Boards? Pablo de Andrés Alonso & Juan Antonio Rodríguez Sanz

439/2009	La sociedad Trenor y Cía. (1838-1926): un modelo de negocio industrial en la España del siglo XIX Amparo Ruiz Llopis
440/2009	Continental bias in trade Salvador Gil-Pareja, Rafael Llorca-Vivero & José Antonio Martínez Serrano
441/2009	Determining operational capital at risk: an empirical application to the retail banking Enrique José Jiménez-Rodríguez, José Manuel Feria-Domínguez & José Luis Martín-Marín